Goal of today: Integration functor and de Rham cohomology groups in one dimensional case.

Let K be **C**. Let  $f = \sum_{j=0}^{m} f_j x^j$  be a polynomial in one variable x.  $m = \operatorname{ord}_w(f), w = (1)$ .

$$x^{i}f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} f_{j}x^{j+i}$$

 $K[x]_k$  is the K vector space of the polynomials of which degree is less than or equal to k. Define a linear map (by the correspondence  $e_i \Leftrightarrow x^i$ )

$$\mathcal{K}[x]_{k-m} \simeq \mathcal{K}^{k-m+1} \ni e_i \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^m f_j e_{j+i} \in \mathcal{K}^{k+1} \simeq \mathcal{K}[x]_k.$$

The matrix representation of this map is denoted by  $M_k(f)$  called the Macaulay type matrix of the degree k. Let  $f = \sum_{j=0}^{m} f_j x^j$  be a polynomial in one variable x.

$$x^{i}f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} f_{j}x^{j+i}$$

The correspondence  $e_i \Leftrightarrow x^i$ .

$$\mathcal{K}[x]_{k-m} \simeq \mathcal{K}^{k-m+1} \ni e_i \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^m f_j e_{j+i} \in \mathcal{K}^{k+1} \simeq \mathcal{K}[x]_k.$$

Example B1:  $f(x) = x^2 + 1$ .

$$e_0 \mapsto 1 \cdot (x^2 + 1) = e_2 + e_0, e_1 \mapsto x \cdot (x^2 + 1) = e_3 + e_1$$
  
 $M_3(f) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  Columns are indexed by  $e_0, e_1, \dots$ 

$$D = K\langle x, \partial \rangle$$

with the relation  $\partial x = x\partial + 1$ . w = 1,  $\operatorname{ord}_{(-w,w)}(x^i\partial^j) = j - i$ .  $f = \sum c_{ij}x^i\partial^j$  is called (-w, w) homogeneous when (j - i)'s are the same value for all the terms.

Lemma B2: If we multiply two elements f and g in D which are (-w, w) homogeneous, fg are also (-w, w) homogeneous. Proof(later). We have

$$\partial^{p} x^{q} = x^{q} \partial^{p} + pq x^{q-1} \partial^{p-1} + \frac{p(p-1)q(q-1)}{2!} x^{q-2} \partial^{p-2} + \cdots$$

**Exercise B3:** Prove it by induction. Since  $\operatorname{ord}_{(-w,w)}(x^{q-i}\partial^{p-i}) = (p-i) - (q-i) = p - q$ , the lemma is shown in case that  $f = x^p$ ,  $g = \partial^q$  from the formula above. General cases are reduced to this case. Q.E.D. We denote by  $F_k$  the K vector space spanned by  $x^i\partial^j$ ,  $j - i \le k$ (the elements of which (-w, w) order is less than or equal to k). Note that  $F_{-1} \subseteq xD$ . Lemma B4: Let  $g \in D$  be a differential operator of (-w, w) order k. For  $f \in F_m \cap Dg$  and  $m \ge k$ , there exists  $q \in F_{m-k}$  such that

$$f = qg$$

Proof(later). Since  $f \in Dg$  (a left ideal generated by g), there exists q' such that f = q'g. Suppose that  $r = \operatorname{ord}_{(-w,w)}(q') > m - k$  and  $q' = q'_1 + q'_2$  where  $q'_1$  is (-w, w) homogeneous with the order r and the order of  $q'_2$  is less than r. We decompose g in the same way as  $g = g_1 + g_2$ .  $q'g = q'_1g_1 + q'_1g_2 + q'_2g = f$ . Since the top degree term is  $q'_1g_1$  which is (-w, w) homogeneous, we have  $q'_1g_1 = 0$ . Then  $q'_1 = 0$ . It is a contradiction. Q.E.D. For an element f of D, the expression as  $\sum c_{ij}x^i\partial^j$  ( $\partial$ 's are collected to the right) is called the normally ordered expression and is denoted by : f:

Example. :  $\partial x := x \partial_x + 1$ .

Fix a natural number k. For  $g \in D$ ,  $\operatorname{ord}_{(-w,w)}(g) = j$ , the operator g induces a linear map

$$\mathcal{K}[\partial]_{k-j} \ni \partial^i \mapsto : \partial^i g : |_{x=0} \in \mathcal{K}[\partial]_k$$

The matrix representation of this map is called the Macaulay type matrix for restriction of degree k and is denoted by  $M_k(g)$ . Example B5:  $g = x\partial^2 + x\partial$ , k = 2.

$$1 \mapsto : x\partial^2 + x\partial : |_{x=0} = 0$$
  
$$\partial \mapsto : \partial g : |_{x=0} = x\partial^3 + \partial^2 + x\partial^2 + \partial |_{x=0} = \partial^2 + \partial$$
  
$$M_2(g) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $K[\partial]_{k-1}$  is regarded as row vectors.

$$C^{\bullet} : 0 \stackrel{\varphi_{m+1}}{\to} K^{b_m} \stackrel{\varphi_m}{\to} K^{b_{m-1}} \stackrel{\varphi_{m-1}}{\to} \cdots \to K^{b_1} \stackrel{\varphi_1}{\to} K^{b_0} \stackrel{\varphi_0}{\to} 0$$

where  $\varphi_i$ 's are K-linear maps is called a complex of vector spaces when  $\varphi_i \circ \varphi_{i+1} = 0$  holds. Define

$$H^{i}(C^{\bullet}) = \frac{\operatorname{Ker} \varphi_{i}}{\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{i+1}}$$

which is a *K*-vector space. Example B6:  $g = x\partial^2 + x\partial$ .

$$C^{ullet}: 0 
ightarrow K^2 \stackrel{M_2(g)}{\longrightarrow} K^3 
ightarrow 0$$

 $H^0(C^{\bullet}) \simeq K^2$ ,  $H^1(C^{\bullet}) \simeq K$ . Let p(x) be a rational function. The action  $\bullet$  of D to p is defined by

$$x^{i}\partial^{j} \bullet p = x^{i}\frac{\partial^{j}p}{\partial x^{j}}$$

The formal Fourier transform of  $x^i \partial^j$  is defined by  $(-\partial)^i x^j$ . It can be extended on D.

Theorem B7: Let p(x) be a square free polynomial and suppose that  $\operatorname{Ann} \frac{1}{p} = \{f \in D \mid f \bullet (1/p) = 0\}$  is generated by  $\hat{g} \in D$ . Let g be the formal Fourier transform of  $\hat{g}$  and  $k_0$  be the minimal integral root of the indicial polynomial (b-function) of g. For  $k \ge k_0$ , define the complex of vector spaces by

$$C^{\bullet}$$
:  $0 \to K^{k+1-\operatorname{ord}_{(-w,w)}(g)} \xrightarrow{M_k(g)} K^{k+1} \to 0$ 

Then, we have  $H^i(C^{\bullet}) = H^{1-i}(\mathbf{C} \setminus V(p), \mathbf{C})$ . Example B8: p(x) = x(1-x). g is the g of our running example B5, B6 and  $k_0 = 1$ . Exercise B9: Compute  $H^i(\mathbf{C} \setminus V(p))$  when p(x) = x and p(x) = x(x-1)(x-2). M2 codes in the last page. Proof. The complex of K-vector spaces

$$0 \to D/xD \otimes_D D \xrightarrow{1 \otimes g} D/xD \otimes_D D \to 0$$

is rewritten as

$$G^{ullet}$$
 :  $0 \to D/xD \ni f \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto}$ :  $fg$  :  $|_{x=0} \in D/xD \simeq K[\partial] \to 0$ 

We will prove that  $H^{j}(G^{\bullet}) \simeq H^{j}(C^{\bullet})$ . Consider the case j = 0. Take non-zero element  $f = \partial^{j} + \sum_{j < i} c_{j}\partial^{j}$  of  $K[\partial]_{k}/\operatorname{Im} M_{k}(g)$  where  $i \leq k$ . If  $f \in Dg + xD$  and  $i \geq r = \operatorname{ord}_{(-w,w)}(g)$ , then by the Lemma B4, there exists  $q \in F_{i-r}$ ,  $q' \in F_{i+1}$  such that f - xq' = qg. Therefore, we have  $f =: qg :\in \operatorname{Im} M_{k}(g)$ . It is a contraction, then we have  $f \notin Dg + xD$  and consequently it is not in :  $K[\partial]g$  :. Therefore, the canonical K-linear map from  $H^{0}(C^{\bullet})$  to  $H^{0}(G^{\bullet})$  is injective. Let b(s) be the indicial polynomial for g. Suppose that  $b(x\partial) \equiv x^r g \mod F_{-1}$ . Suppose  $k > k_0$ . (This k is not k in the theorem statement in this paragraph.) Applying  $\partial^k$  to the both sides, we have  $b(k)\partial^k + x(\cdots) \equiv \partial^k x^r g \mod F_{-1+k}$ . Then, we have  $b(k)\partial^k \equiv 0 \mod F_{-1+k} + Dg + xD$ . It implies the surjectivity.

We prove that the canonical K-linear map from Ker  $M_k(g)$  to Ker  $\varphi$  is isomorphism. Let  $\sum_{i \le k-r} c_i \partial^i \neq 0$  belongs to the kernel of  $M_k(g)$ . In other words, we have  $: (\sum c_i \partial^i)g : |_{x=0} = 0$ . It implies that  $\sum c_i \partial^i$  is a non-zero element of D/xD which belongs to the kernel of  $\varphi$ . Then, it is injective. The case r < 0 can be shown analogously. In order prove the surjectivity, we suppose that the highest (-w, w) order terms of g is  $\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_i x^i \partial^{r+i}$ . Applying  $\partial^j$  to this sum, we have

$$\partial^j g = \sum_{i=0}^m c_i j(j-1) \cdots (j-i+1) \partial^{r+j} \mod F_{j+r-1} + xD$$

Suppose that  $a\partial^j + \cdots$  belongs to the kernel of  $\varphi$ . We have

$$: (a\partial^j + \cdots)g : |_{x=0} = a(\sum_{i=0}^m c_i j(j-1) \cdots (j-i+1)))\partial^{r+j} + \cdots$$

On the other hand, b-function of g is equal to

$$x^r \sum c_i x^i \partial^{r+i} = \sum c_i \theta(\theta-1) \cdots (\theta-r-i+1)$$

When j > k - r, we have  $(j + r) \cdots (j + 1) (\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_i j (j - 1) \cdots (j - i + 1))) \neq 0$ . Therefore, a = 0, which yields the surjectivity.

It follows from the Grothendieck comparison theorem (algebraic de Rham vs analytic de Rham) that we have

$$H^{i}(\mathbf{C} \setminus V(p)) \simeq H^{1-i}(F^{\bullet}), \quad F^{\bullet} : 0 \to K[x, \frac{1}{p}] \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} K[x, \frac{1}{p}] \to 0$$

Here, K[x, 1/p] is regarded as a left *D*-module by the action  $\bullet$  of  $\partial$ . Finally, we will show  $H^i(G^{\bullet}) \simeq H(F^{\bullet})$ . The two complexes are border complexes of a double complex presented in the blackboard. Then, by the standard theorem of homology algebra (e.g., Kawada, Homology Algebra, Th 2.10 or Th 3.16), we obtain the conclusion. Q.E.D.

```
Inputs for Macaulav 2 (M2)
load "Dmodules m2"
R=QQ[x,dx,Wey1Algebra=>{x=>dx}]
g = x * (1 - x)
\tilde{I} = RatAnn(g)
Dintegration(I,{1})
rr=Dresolution(I)
rr.dd
p=rr.dd_1
р 0 0
QQ[x]
g = x * (1 - x)
deRham(g)
QQ[x,y]
I = ideal(x*v-1,x^2-v^2,v^3-x)
syz gens I
res I
```

Exercise B10: Compute  $H^i(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus V(p), \mathbb{C})$  by M2 for a polynomial p, e.g., p = xy, n = 2. Is the result compatible with geometric conclusion?

Note: (twisted) cohomology groups are used to derive several formulas for normalzing constants, which lie in holonomic *D*-modules, in statistics. Quiver *D*-modules (arxiv:0510451) may help to study it.