

On Cauchy Problem for Linear Partial Differential Equations with Constant Coefficients

By

Hitoshi FURUYA and Mitio NAGUMO

(Tokorozawa Kita High School and Osaka University)

1. Introduction

We shall consider the Cauchy problem for a system of linear partial differential equations for a system of unknown functions $u_\mu = u_\mu(t, x)$ ($\mu = 1, \dots, k$) of independent real variables (t, x) , with $t \in \mathbf{R}^1$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbf{R}^m$:

$$\partial_t u_\mu = \sum_{\nu=1}^k p_{\mu\nu}(D_x)u_\nu, \quad (\mu = 1, \dots, k),$$

where $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$, $D_x = (\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_m)$ and $p_{\mu\nu}(\zeta)$ are polynomials in $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m)$ with constant coefficients. Using vector-matrix notations we can write the above system of equations as

$$(1) \quad \partial_t u^\dagger = P(D_x)u^\dagger,$$

where $u^\dagger = (u_\mu, \mu \downarrow 1, \dots, k)$ and $P = (p_{\mu\nu}, \mu \downarrow 1, \dots, k)$.

Let \mathcal{S} be the set of all complex valued rapidly decreasing C^∞ functions on \mathbf{R}^m with the usual well known topology and \mathcal{S}' be the dual space of \mathcal{S} . By \mathcal{S}^k we denote the product space $\mathcal{S} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}$ (k -times) and by \mathcal{S}'^k the product space $\mathcal{S}' \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}' = (\mathcal{S}^k)'$. Now let \mathcal{G} be a linear space of (generalized) complex vector valued functions on \mathbf{R}^m such that $\mathcal{S}^k \subset \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{S}'^k$, where the topology of the space on the left side of \subset is finer than that of the space on the right side of \subset .

The Cauchy problem for the equation (1) is said to be **forward \mathcal{G} -well posed** on the interval $[0, \tau]$ ($\tau > 0$) if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- 1) (*Unique existence of the solution*) For any $\dot{u}^\dagger \in \mathcal{G}$ there exists a unique \mathcal{G} -valued solution $u^\dagger = u^\dagger(t, x)$ of (1) for $t \in [0, \tau]$ with the initial condition $u^\dagger(0, x) = \dot{u}^\dagger(x)$.
- 2) (*Continuity of solution with respect to the initial value*) If $\dot{u}^\dagger(x)$ tends to zero in \mathcal{G} , then the solution $u^\dagger = u^\dagger(t, x)$ of (1) with the initial value $u^\dagger(0, x) = \dot{u}^\dagger(x)$ also tends to zero in \mathcal{G} uniformly for $t \in [0, \tau]$.

Since the operator $P(D_x)$ does not depend on the time variable t , we can easily see that the forward \mathcal{G} -well posedness does not depend on $\tau > 0$, hence we can simply say of the forward \mathcal{G} -well posedness without mentioning the interval $[0, \tau]$.

Making use of the Fourier transform with respect to the space variable x

$$v^\dagger(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-m/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} u^\dagger(x) dx, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathbf{R}^m,$$

the Cauchy problem of the equation (1) can be formally reduced to that of the ordinary differential equation for the \mathcal{G} -valued unknown function of t , $v^\dagger = v^\dagger(t, \xi)$

$$(2) \quad \partial_t v^\dagger = P(i\xi)v^\dagger,$$

where ξ is a parameter and \mathcal{G} is the Fourier transform of \mathcal{G} .

It is known that for some function spaces, for example $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{S}^k$ or $(\mathcal{D}_{L^2})^k$, the necessary and sufficient condition for the forward \mathcal{G} -well posedness of the equation (1) is given by the **Petrovski correctness** "The real part of all eigen-values of the matrix $P(i\xi)$ are bounded above for $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^m$ ".

In this note we shall show that the *Petrovski correctness is necessary* for the forward \mathcal{G} -well posedness provided that

$$\mathcal{S}^k \subset \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{S}'^k.$$

When the independent space variable is one dimensional, $x \in \mathbf{R}^1$, we have already published the same result [3], using the property of algebraic function of a single independent variable. In this note we do not use the property of algebraic functions, but we use the complex integration formula

$$\exp(tP(i\xi)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma} e^{t\zeta} (\zeta I - P(i\xi))^{-1} d\zeta.$$

Here we have also to mention that in 1974 Master Jun'ichi Sato has obtained the same result for the case of single equation

$$\partial_t u = P(D_x)u \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^m, k=1),$$

which has not been published in printed journal.

2. Proposition and Lemmas

Let $\lambda_j(\xi)$ ($j=1, \dots, k$) be eigenvalues of the $k \times k$ matrix $P(i\xi)$ and $\hat{\lambda}(\xi)$ be an eigen-value of $P(i\xi)$ such that

$$\Re \hat{\lambda}(\xi) = \text{Max}_{1 \leq j \leq k} \Re \lambda_j(\xi).$$

Then, the *Petrovski correctness of the equation (1) is equivalent to the existence of constants C_0 and C_1 such that*

$$(3) \quad \Re \hat{\lambda}(\xi) \leq C_0 + C_1 \log(1 + |\xi|) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^m \text{ (cf. [1]).}$$

As the Fourier transform is an isomorphic and homeomorphic mapping of \mathcal{S} onto \mathcal{S} and of \mathcal{S}' onto \mathcal{S}' , we have only to prove the following proposition.

Proposition. *If the equation (1) is not Petrovski correct, we can construct a sequence $\{\dot{v}_n^1(\xi)\}_{n \in N} \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^m)$ such that $\dot{v}_n^1 \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{S}^k as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but for the solution $v_n^1 = v_n^1(t, \xi)$ of the equation (2) with the initial condition $v_n^1(0, \xi) = \dot{v}_n^1(\xi)$ we have $v_n^1(t, \xi) \not\rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{S}'^k as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $t > 0$, where N denotes the set of all natural numbers and C_0^∞ denotes the set of all C^∞ -functions with compact supports.*

For the proof of this proposition we shall give some lemmas previously.

Lemma 1. *There exist natural numbers l_0, l_1 and constants C_0, C_1 such that*

$$(1.1) \quad \|(\zeta \mathbf{1} - P(i\xi))^{-1}\| \leq C_0(1 + |\xi|)^{l_0}$$

$$(1.2) \quad \|D_\xi(\zeta \mathbf{1} - P(i\xi))^{-1}\| \leq C_1(1 + |\xi|)^{l_1}, \quad (\xi \in \mathbf{R}^m, \zeta \in \mathbf{C})$$

if $\text{Min}_{1 \leq j \leq k} |\zeta - \lambda_j(\xi)| = 1$, where the norm $\|A\|$ of a matrix A is defined by $\|A\| = \sup \{|Av^1|; |v^1| = 1\}$.

Proof. Cf. [4], p. 157.

Lemma 2. *With the same natural number l_1 and constant C_1 as in Lemma 1, we have*

$$(2.1) \quad \|D_\xi \exp(tP(i\xi))\| \leq C_1 e^t (1 + |\xi|)^{l_1} \|\exp(tP(i\xi))\| \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{C}_ξ be a closed curve (generally not connected) in the complex plane \mathbf{C} with positive orientation such that

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{C}_\xi = \{\zeta \in \mathbf{C}; \text{Min}_{1 \leq j \leq k} |\zeta - \lambda_j(\xi)| = 1\}.$$

Then we have by the complex integration along \mathcal{C}_ξ

$$D_\xi \exp(tP(i\xi)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_\xi} e^{t\zeta} D_\xi(\zeta \mathbf{1} - P(i\xi))^{-1} d\zeta \quad (\text{cf. [4], p. 155}).$$

Thus, by Lemma 1,

$$(2.3) \quad \|D_\xi \exp(tP(i\xi))\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} C_1 (1 + |\xi|)^{l_1} \int_{\mathcal{C}_\xi} |e^{t\zeta}| |d\zeta|.$$

But, as $|\zeta - \lambda_j(\xi)| = 1$ with some j if $\zeta \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$, with $a_j^1(\xi)$ a normalized eigen-vector of $P(i\xi)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_j(\zeta)$, we have for, $\zeta \in \mathcal{C}_\xi, t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{t\zeta}| &\leq e^{t \text{Re} \lambda_j(\xi) + t} = e^t |e^{t\lambda_j(\xi)} a_j^1(\xi)| \\ &= e^t |\exp(tP(i\xi)) a_j^1(\xi)| \leq e^t \|\exp(tP(i\xi))\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (2.3) we get (2.1).

Lemma 3. *With the same natural number l_1 and constant C_1 as in Lemma 1 we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \|\exp(tP(i\xi')) - \exp(tP(i\xi))\| \leq 2C_1 e^t (2 + |\xi|)^{l_1} |\xi' - \xi| \|\exp(tP(i\xi))\|,$$

if $t > 0$ and $C_1 e^t (2 + |\xi|)^{l_1} |\xi' - \xi| < 1/2$.

Proof. Let $\xi(s) = (1-s)\xi + s\xi'$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and put $Y(s) = \exp(tP(i\xi(s)))$. Then, by Lemma 2,

$$(3.2) \quad \left\| \frac{d}{ds} Y(s) \right\| = \|D_\xi \exp(tP(i\xi(s))) (\xi' - \xi)\| \\ \leq C_1 e^t (1 + |\xi(s)|)^{l_1} \|Y(s)\| \cdot |\xi' - \xi|.$$

But, as $|\xi(s) - \xi| \leq |\xi' - \xi|$, we have from (3.2) putting $\eta = \text{Max}_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \|Y(s) - Y(0)\|$,

$$\eta \leq C_1 e^t (2 + |\xi|)^{l_1} |\xi' - \xi| (\|Y(0)\| + \eta).$$

Therefore, if $C_1 e^t (2 + |\xi|)^{l_1} |\xi' - \xi| < 1/2$, we get

$$\|Y(1) - Y(0)\| \leq 2C_1 e^t (2 + |\xi|)^{l_1} |\xi' - \xi| \|Y(0)\|,$$

which shows (3.1).

Lemma 4. *With the same natural number l_0 and constant C_0 as in Lemma 1, we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \|\exp(tP(i\xi))\| \leq kC_0 e^t (1 + |\xi|)^{l_0} e^{t\alpha\lambda(\xi)} \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

Proof. As $\exp(tP(i\xi)) = 1/2\pi i \int_{\mathcal{C}_\xi} e^{t\zeta} (\zeta \mathbf{1} - P(i\xi))^{-1} d\zeta$ and $|e^{t\zeta}| \leq e^{t\alpha\lambda(\xi)} + t$ for $\zeta \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$, by Lemma 1 we get (4.1).

3. Proof of Proposition

1°. If the equation (1) is not correct, i.e., if there do not exist constants C_0 and C_1 such that (3) holds, we can find a sequence of points $\{\xi^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \subset \mathbf{R}^m$ such that

$$(4) \quad \Re \hat{\lambda}(\xi^{(n)}) > n^3 \log(1 + |\xi^{(n)}|) \quad \text{and} \quad |\xi^{(n+1)}| > |\xi^{(n)}| + 2.$$

Then we construct a sequence of initial values $\hat{v}_n^1(\xi)$ by

$$(5) \quad \hat{v}_n^1(\xi) = (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-n^2} \rho_{\delta_n}(\xi - \xi^{(n)}) a^1(\xi^{(n)}),$$

where $a^1(\xi)$ is a normalized eigen-vector of $P(i\xi)$ corresponding to the eigen-value

$\hat{\lambda}(\xi)$, and $\rho_\delta(\xi) = \delta^{-m} \rho_1(\delta^{-1}\xi)$ ($\delta > 0$) with $\rho_1(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^m)$ such that $\rho_1(\xi) \geq 0$, $\text{supp } (\rho_1) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^m; |\xi| \leq 1\}$, $\int \rho_1(\xi) d\xi = 1$ and

$$(6) \quad \delta_n = (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-n}.$$

Thus we have $\hat{v}_n^\perp \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{S}^k as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Because for any multi-indices $\alpha, \beta \in N_0^m$ ($N_0 = \{0\} \cup N$) we have

$$|\xi^\beta D_\xi^\alpha \hat{v}_n^\perp(\xi)| \leq \sup_{|\xi - \xi^{(n)}| \leq \delta_n} |\xi^\beta| \cdot (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-n^2} \delta_n^{-m - |\alpha|} C_\alpha,$$

where $C_\alpha = \sup_{|\xi| \leq 1} |D_\xi^\alpha \rho_1(\xi)|$. Hence as $\delta_n \leq 1$

$$|\xi^\beta D_\xi^\alpha \hat{v}_n^\perp(\xi)| \leq (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-n^2 + |\beta| + (m + |\alpha|)n} C_\alpha \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly on \mathbf{R}^m as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2°. Now to prove that the sequence of the solutions $v^\perp = v_n^\perp(t, \xi) = \exp(tP(i\xi)) \hat{v}_n^\perp(\xi)$ of the equation (2) with the initial values $v_n^\perp(0, \xi) = \hat{v}_n^\perp(\xi)$ does not tend to zero in \mathcal{S}^k as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $t > 0$, we shall construct a function $\varphi^\perp(\xi) \in \mathcal{S}^k$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} v_n^\perp(t, \xi) \cdot \varphi^\perp(\xi) d\xi \right| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

First consider a vector-valued step function

$$\psi^\perp(\xi) = (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-n^2} a^\perp(\xi^{(n)})$$

for $|\xi| < |\xi^{(1)}| + 1$ if $n = 1$, but for $|\xi^{(n-1)}| + 1 \leq |\xi| < |\xi^{(n)}| + 1$ if $n \geq 2$. And define a vector-valued C^∞ -function φ^\perp by $\varphi^\perp(\xi) = \rho_{1/2^*} \psi^\perp(\xi)$. Then

$$(7) \quad \varphi^\perp(\xi) = (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-n^2} a^\perp(\xi^{(n)}) \quad (\text{constant}) \quad \text{for } |\xi - \xi^{(n)}| < 1/2,$$

and $\varphi^\perp \in \mathcal{S}^k$. Because for $|\xi^{(n-1)}| + 1 \leq |\xi| < |\xi^{(n)}| + 1$ we have

$$|\xi^\beta D_\xi^\alpha \varphi^\perp(\xi)| = |\xi^\beta| \cdot |D^\alpha \rho_{1/2^*} \psi^\perp(\xi)| \leq C'_\alpha |\xi^\beta| |\psi^\perp(\xi)| \leq C'_\alpha (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-n^2 + |\beta|} \rightarrow 0$$

as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, where $C'_\alpha = \text{Max}_{|\xi| \leq 1/2} |D^\alpha \rho_{1/2}(\xi)|$.

Now we have

$$(8) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} v_n^\perp(t, \xi) \cdot \varphi^\perp(\xi) d\xi = I + II,$$

where

$$I = \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} \{\exp(tP(i\xi^{(n)})) \hat{v}_n^\perp(\xi)\} \cdot \varphi^\perp(\xi) d\xi$$

and

$$II = \int_{R^m} \{ \exp(tP(i\xi)) - \exp(tP(i\xi^{(n)})) \} \dot{v}_n^1(\xi) \cdot \varphi^1(\xi) d\xi.$$

As $\exp(tP(i\xi^{(n)}))a^1(\xi^{(n)}) = e^{t\lambda(\xi^{(n)})}a^1(\xi^{(n)})$ from (5) we have

$$\exp(tP(i\xi^{(n)}))\dot{v}_n^1(\xi) = (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-n^2} \rho_{\delta_n}(\xi - \xi^{(n)}) e^{t\lambda(\xi^{(n)})} a^1(\xi^{(n)}).$$

Hence by (7), as $\delta_n \leq 1/2$,

$$(9) \quad I = \int_{|\xi - \xi^{(n)}| \leq \delta_n} (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-2n^2} \rho_{\delta_n}(\xi - \xi^{(n)}) e^{t\lambda(\xi^{(n)})} d\xi = (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-2n^2} e^{t\lambda(\xi^{(n)})}.$$

As $|\xi^{(n)}| \geq n$ and $C_1 e^t (2 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{l_1} |\xi - \xi^{(n)}| < 1/2$ for $|\xi - \xi^{(n)}| \leq \delta_n = (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-n}$ if n_2^* is sufficiently large, we get by Lemma 3 and (7)

$$\begin{aligned} |II| &\leq \int \left\| \exp(tP(i\xi)) - \exp(tP(i\xi^{(n)})) \right\| \left\| \dot{v}_n^1(\xi) \right\| \left| \varphi^1(\xi) \right| d\xi \\ &\leq 2C_1 e^t (2 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{l_1} \left\| \exp(tP(i\xi^{(n)})) \right\| (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-2n^2} \\ &\quad \times \int_{|\xi - \xi^{(n)}| \leq \delta_n} |\xi - \xi^{(n)}| \rho_{\delta_n}(\xi - \xi^{(n)}) d\xi \\ &= 2C_1 e^t (2 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{l_1} (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-2n^2} \delta_n \left\| \exp(tP(i\xi^{(n)})) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, further by Lemma 4 and (6), if n is sufficiently large,

$$|II| \leq C e^{2t} (2 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^l (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-2n^2 - n} e^{t\lambda(\xi^{(n)})},$$

where $C = 2kC_1C_0$ and $l = l_1 + l_0$. Hence by (4), if n is sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} |I + II| &\geq |I| - |II| \\ &\geq (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-2n^2} e^{t\lambda(\xi^{(n)})} \{ 1 - C e^{2t} (2 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^l (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{-n} \} \\ &> \frac{1}{2} (1 + |\xi^{(n)}|)^{tn^3 - 2n^2} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for } t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

After all we have established the following theorem.

Theorem. Let $\mathcal{S}^k \subset \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{S}^{l_k}$, where the topology of the space on the left side of \subset is finer than or equal to that of on the right side of \subset . Then the Petrovski correctness is necessary for the Cauchy problem of the equation (1) to be forward \mathcal{G} -well posed.

References

- [1] Gårding, L., Linear hyperbolic partial differential equations with constant coefficients, *Acta Math.*, **85** (1951), 1-62.
- [2] Gelfand, I. M. and Shilov, G. E., *Generalized Function*, Vol. 3 (Translated from Russian), Academic Press, 1967.

- { 3] Furuya, H. and Nagumo, M., On the Cauchy problem for a system of linear partial differential equations with constant coefficients, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **53** (1977), 1-3.
- { 4] Nagumo, M., *Theory of Partial Differential Equations*, Asakura Publ. Co., 1974 (in Japanese).

nuna adreso:

Huruya, H.

Tokorozawa Kita High School

Tokorozawa, Saitama, Japan

Nagumo, M.

2-11-29, Midori-cho

Koganei-shi, Tokyo, Japan

(Ricevita la 6 de oktobro, 1978)