

Fractional Integration and Inversion Formulae Associated with the Generalized Whittaker Transform*

By H. M. SRIVASTAVA

(West Virginia University)

Abstract

In the present paper, we invoke the theories of the Mellin transform as well as fractional integration to investigate a solution of the integral equation

$$(1) \quad \int_0^\infty (xt)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}xt} W_{k+\frac{1}{2}, m}(xt) f(t) dt = W_{k, m}^{(\sigma)}\{f(t) : x\}, x > 0,$$

which defines a generalized Whittaker transform of the unknown function $f(t) \in L_2(0, \infty)$ to be determined in terms of its image $W_{k, m}^{(\sigma)}\{f(t) : x\}$.

It is shown that under certain constraints (1) can be reduced to the form of a Laplace integral which is readily solvable by familiar techniques.

1. Introduction.

Meijer [9] has given a generalization of the well-known Laplace transform (cf., e. g., [18])

$$(1.1) \quad L[f(t) : x] = \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} f(t) dt$$

by means of the integral equation

$$(1.2) \quad M_{k, m}\{f(t) : x\} = \int_0^\infty (xt)^{-k-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}xt} W_{k+\frac{1}{2}, m}(xt) f(t) dt,$$

while a generalization of (1.1) due to Varma [15, p. 209] is in the form

$$(1.3) \quad V_{k, m}\{f(t) : x\} = \int_0^\infty (xt)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}xt} W_{k, m}(xt) f(t) dt,$$

where $W_{k, m}(z)$ represents the Whittaker function [13, p. 14]

$$(1.4) \quad W_{k, m}(z) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}z} \sum_{m, -m} \frac{\Gamma(-2m)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-k-m\right)} z^{m+\frac{1}{2}} {}_1F_1\left[\frac{1}{2}-k+m; 1+2m; z\right]$$

in terms of Kummer's confluent hypergeometric function

$${}_1F_1[a; b; z] = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(a)_n}{(b)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

* For a brief research announcement of the main results of the present paper see Pacific J. Math. 26 (1968) 357-377.

with, as usual,

$$(\lambda)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \lambda(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)\cdots(\lambda+n-1).$$

When $k = \pm m$, (1.2) reduces to (1.1) and the reducibility of (1.3) to (1.1) in the special case $k+m = \frac{1}{2}$ is quite straightforward, since [3, p. 264]

$$(1.5) \quad W_{\frac{1}{2}-m, \pm m}^{(\sigma)}(z) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}z} z^{\frac{1}{2}-m}.$$

More than a decade ago, Erdélyi [1] pointed out that the kernel of (1.2) can be expressed as a fractional integral of e^{-xt} in terms of the operators of fractional integration introduced earlier by Kober (cf. [7]) and Erdélyi [2], while recently Fox [5] has made an appeal to Erdélyi-Kober's theory (cf. also [4]) to derive an inversion formula for the integral transform with nucleus $x^\nu K_\nu(x)$, where $K_\nu(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind [16, p. 78]. We investigate here a solution of the integral equation

$$(1.6) \quad W_{k,m}^{(\sigma)}\{f(t) : x\} = \int_0^\infty (xt)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}xt} W_{k+\frac{1}{2},m}(xt) f(t) dt, \quad x > 0,$$

which defines a generalized Whittaker transform [8, p. 23] of the unknown function $f(t) \in L_2(0, \infty)$ to be determined in terms of its image $W_{k,m}^{(\sigma)}\{f(t) : x\}$, so that since

$$(1.7) \quad W_{k,m}^{(-k)}\{f(t) : x\} = M_{k,m}\{f(t) : x\}$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad W_{k-\frac{1}{2},m}^{(m)}\{f(t) : x\} = V_{k,m}\{f(t) : x\},$$

our results would readily enable us to invert the integral transforms given earlier by Meijer (cf. [1], [10]), and Varma (cf. [11], [12]).

In what follows we shall make a free use of the theories of fractional integration as well as the Mellin transform, and therefore we find it worthwhile to describe them briefly in the next section.

2. Fractional Integration and Mellin Transform.

In the familiar notation, the operators of fractional integration introduced by Kober [7] are defined as follows (cf. also [2]):

$$(2.1) \quad I_{\eta,\alpha}^{(+)} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{-\eta-\alpha} \int_0^x (x-u)^{\alpha-1} u^\eta f(u) du,$$

$$(2.2) \quad K_{\xi,\alpha}^{(-)} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^\xi \int_x^\infty (u-x)^{\alpha-1} u^{-\xi-\alpha} f(u) du,$$

where $f(x) \in L_p(0, \infty)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, if $1 < p < \infty$, and $\frac{1}{q} = 0$ if $p = 1$ or $q = 1$,

$$\alpha > 0, \eta > -\frac{1}{q}, \zeta > -\frac{1}{p}.$$

Confining ourselves to the L_2 -space theory, for simplicity of the conditions involved, so that l.i.m. indicates the limit in mean with index 2, it is readily seen that [14, p. 94] if

$$f(x) \in L_2(0, \infty),$$

then

$$(2.3) \quad M\{f(x)\} = \text{l.i.m.}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/N}^N f(x) x^{s-1} dx = F(s) \in L_2\left(\frac{1}{2} - i\infty, \frac{1}{2} + i\infty\right),$$

and that if

$$F(s) \in L_2\left(\frac{1}{2} - i\infty, \frac{1}{2} + i\infty\right),$$

then

$$(2.4) \quad M^{-1}\{F(s)\} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\frac{1}{2} - iN}^{\frac{1}{2} + iN} F(s) x^{-s} ds = f(x) \in L_2(0, \infty),$$

where M and M^{-1} represent Mellin and the inverse Mellin transforms respectively.

By investigating the asymptotic behaviour of the gamma functions occurring in the known formula [6, p. 114]

$$(2.5) \quad M\left\{z^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z} W_{k + \frac{1}{2}, m}(z)\right\} = \frac{\Gamma(s + \sigma + m)\Gamma(s + \sigma - m)}{\Gamma(s + \sigma - k)},$$

where $s = \beta + i\delta$, β and δ being real, and $\beta + \sigma \pm m > 0$, it can be shown that

(i) $M\left\{z^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z} W_{k + \frac{1}{2}, m}(z)\right\}$ is bounded on the line $s = \frac{1}{2} + i\delta$, where $-\infty < \delta < \infty$, and since, in view of (1.4),

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}z} W_{k + \frac{1}{2}, m}(z)$$

is an entire function, finite at the origin, such that [17, p. 343]

$$(2.6) \quad W_{k + \frac{1}{2}, m}(z) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}z} z^{k + \frac{1}{2}} \{1 + O(z^{-1})\},$$

when $|z|$ is large and $|\arg(z)| < \pi$, it follows that

$$(ii) \quad z^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z} W_{k + \frac{1}{2}, m}(z) \in L_2(0, \infty).$$

When (i) and (ii) hold, from Fox's lemma (cf. [5], p. 458) we have:

Lemma I. *If $x > 0$, $m \geq 0$, and $f(x) \in L_2(0, \infty)$, then*

$$(2.7) \quad \int_0^\infty (xt)^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}xt} W_{k + \frac{1}{2}, m}(xt) f(t) dt \in L_2(0, \infty)$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad M\left\{\int_0^\infty (xt)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}xt} W_{k+\frac{1}{2},m}(xt)f(t)dt\right\} \\ = \frac{\Gamma(s+\sigma+m)\Gamma(s+\sigma-m)}{\Gamma(s+\sigma-k)} F(1-s)$$

wherein the integrals are regarded as functions of x .

Next we return to Kober's operator (2.2) and observe that under the sufficient conditions of its validity, viz.

$$(2.9) \quad \alpha > 0, S > -\frac{1}{2} \text{ assuming that } f(x) \in L_2(0, \infty),$$

we also have

$$(2.10) \quad K_{\zeta,\alpha}^{(-)} f(x) \in L_2(0, \infty)$$

and [7, p. 203]

$$(2.11) \quad M\{K_{\zeta,\alpha}^{(-)} f(x)\} = \frac{\Gamma(s+\zeta)}{\Gamma(s+\zeta+\alpha)} M\{f(x)\}.$$

From (2.7), (2.8) and (2.11) it is easy to see that

$$M\left\{K_{\sigma-k,\alpha}^{(-)} \int_0^\infty (xt)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}xt} W_{k+\frac{1}{2},m}(xt)f(t)dt\right\} \\ = \frac{\Gamma(s+\sigma+m)\Gamma(s+\sigma-m)}{\Gamma(s+\sigma-k+\alpha)} F(1-s) \\ = M\left\{\int_0^\infty (xt)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}xt} W_{k-\alpha+\frac{1}{2},m}(xt)f(t)dt\right\},$$

in view of (2.5), and therefore

Lemma II. If $x > 0$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\frac{1}{2} + \sigma - k > 0$, and $f(x) \in L_2(0, \infty)$, then

$$(2.12) \quad K_{\sigma-k,\alpha}^{(-)} \int_0^\infty (xt)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}xt} W_{k+\frac{1}{2},m}(xt)f(t)dt \\ = \int_0^\infty (xt)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}xt} W_{k-\alpha+\frac{1}{2},m}(xt)f(t)dt,$$

where the integrals are regarded as functions of x .

3. The Inversion Theorems.

We first establish the following theorem which exhibits the fact that under certain constraints the integral equation (1.6) can be reduced to (1.1) which is readily solvable by familiar techniques.

Theorem I. Let $f(x)$ be a solution of

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^\infty (xt)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}xt} W_{k+\frac{1}{2}, m}^{(\sigma)}(xt) f(t) dt = W_{k, m}^{(\sigma)}(f(t) : x)$$

which belongs to $L_2(0, \infty)$. Then

$$(3.2) \quad f(x) = L^{-1}[K_{\sigma-k, \sigma+k}^{(-)} W_{k, m}^{(\sigma)}\{f(t) : x\}],$$

provided (i) $x > 0$, (ii) $\sigma+k \geq 0$ and (iii) $\frac{1}{2} + \sigma - k > 0$.

Proof. Since the conditions of Lemmas I and II are satisfied, we may operate upon (3.1) by means of

$$K_{\sigma-k, \sigma+k}^{(-)}$$

and by virtue of (2.12) and (1.5) we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-xt} f(t) dt = K_{\sigma-k, \sigma+k}^{(-)} W_{k, m}^{(\sigma)}\{f(t) : x\},$$

whence (3.2) follows immediately.

Theorem II. Let $f(x) \in L_2(0, \infty)$ and

$$(3.3) \quad L[f(t) : x] = \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} f(t) dt.$$

Then

$$(3.4) \quad K_{\sigma+m, \alpha}^{(-)} x^{\sigma-m} L[t^{\sigma-m} f(t) : x] = W_{m-\alpha, m}^{(\sigma)}\{f(t) : x\},$$

provided (i) $x > 0$, (ii) $\alpha \geq 0$ and (iii) $\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + m > 0$.

Proof. In view of (1.5) we have

$$x^{\sigma-m} L[t^{\sigma-m} f(t) : x] = \int_0^\infty (xt)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}xt} W_{\frac{1}{2}-m, m}^{(\sigma)}(xt) f(t) dt,$$

and operating upon both sides by

$$K_{\sigma+m, \alpha}^{(-)},$$

we get (3.4) under the conditions stated earlier.

Finally we remark that when $\alpha = -k-m$, (3.4) is reduced to the interesting relationship

$$(3.5) \quad W_{k, m}^{(\sigma)}\{f(t) : x\} = K_{\sigma+m, -k-m}^{(-)} x^{\sigma-m} L[t^{\sigma-m} f(t) : x],$$

which leads us to the construction of a table of generalized Whittaker transforms from that of the classical Laplace transforms, provided $k+m \leq 0$,

$\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + m > 0$ and $x > 0$.

References

- [1] A. Erdélyi, On a generalization of the Laplace transformation, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2), **10** (1951), 53-55.
- [2] A. Erdélyi, On some functional transformations, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. e Politec. Torino, **10** (1950-51), 217-234.

- [3] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F. G. Tricomi, Higher transcendental functions, Vol. I, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
- [4] C. Fox, Integral transforms based upon fractional integration, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **50** (1963), 63-71.
- [5] C. Fox, An inversion formula for the kernel $K_\nu(x)$, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **61** (1965), 457-467.
- [6] S. Goldstein, Operational representations of Whittaker's confluent hypergeometric function and Weber's parabolic cylinder function, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), **34** (1932), 103-125.
- [7] H. Kober, On fractional integrals and derivatives, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford Ser.), **11** (1940), 193-211.
- [8] V. P. Mainra, A new generalization of the Laplace transform, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **53** (1961), 23-31.
- [9] C. S. Meijer, Eine neue Erweiterung der Laplace Transformation-I, Proc. Kon. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. **44** (1941), 727-737.
- [10] K. M. Saxena, Inversion and representation theorems for a generalization of Laplace transformation, Nieuw Arch. v Wisk. (3), **6** (1958), 1-9.
- [11] K. M. Saxena, Inversion and representation theorems for a generalized Laplace integral, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 597-607.
- [12] R. K. Saxena, An inversion formula for the Varma transform, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **62** (1966), 467-471.
- [13] L. J. Slater, Confluent hypergeometric functions, Cambridge, 1960.
- [14] E. C. Titchmarsh, An introduction to the theory of Fourier integrals, Oxford, 1948.
- [15] R. S. Varma, On a generalization of Laplace integral, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A, **20** (1951), 209-216.
- [16] G. N. Watson, A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions, second edition, Cambridge and New York, 1966.
- [17] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, A course of modern analysis, Cambridge, 1963.
- [18] D. V. Widder, The Laplace transform, Princeton, 1941.

(Ricevita, la 25-an de majo, 1968)