

Cauchy Problem for Some Degenerate Abstract Differential Equations of Sobolev Type

By

Tomomi KOJO and Masayoshi TSUTSUMI

(Shibaura Institute of Technology and Waseda University, Japan)

1. Introduction

Let H be a Hilbert space over the reals. We shall consider an ordinary differential equation in H :

$$(E) \quad B \frac{du}{dt}(t) + Au(t) \ni f(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

together with the initial condition

$$(IC) \quad B^{1/2}u(0) = B^{1/2}u_0.$$

Here A is a nonlinear m -accretive operator in H and B is a nonnegative selfadjoint operator in H .

Equations of the form (E) appear in various physical problems including the propagation of long waves of small amplitude [3], the heat conduction involving two temperatures [7] and soil mechanics [2] and are called pseudo-parabolic equations (since they are parabolic equations when $B = I$) or equations of Sobolev type [12].

In the previous works, the authors have established the existence-uniqueness theorem of strong solutions of the initial value problem for (E) under various conditions on A and B :

- i) $D(B) \subset D(A)$ and B has the bounded inverse [13],
- ii) $D(B) \subset D(A)$ and B is not necessarily invertible [14],
- iii) $D(A) \subset D(B)$ and B has the bounded inverse [8].

The purpose of this paper is to construct a result for (E), (IC) under the case

- iv) B is not necessarily invertible (and no inclusion relation between $D(A)$ and $D(B)$ is assumed),

and apply it to the initial-boundary value problem for some nonlinear partial differential equations.

The pseudo-parabolic equation is investigated, in the abstract frame work, by many authors under various conditions on A and B which are different from ours [5], [6], [9], [11], [15] (and the references therein).

2. Notation and a result

Let H be a real Hilbert space, the inner product and the norm in H denoted by (\cdot, \cdot) and $\|\cdot\|$ respectively.

Let A be a nonlinear multivalued operator from H into itself. An operator $A: H \rightarrow H$ with domain $D(A) = \{u; Au \neq \emptyset\}$ and range $R(A) = \cup\{Au; u \in D(A)\}$ is said to be *accretive* if

$$(v_1 - v_2, u_1 - u_2) \geq 0 \quad \text{for every } v_j \in Au_j \quad (u_j \in D(A), j = 1, 2).$$

An accretive operator A is said to be *m-accretive* if $R(I + A) = H$. For each integer $n > 0$ the *Yosida approximation* A_n of an *m-accretive* operator A is defined by

$$A_n = n(I - (I + n^{-1}A)^{-1}).$$

It is well known that A_n is *m-accretive* on H and Lipschitz continuous with n as Lipschitz constant. For brevity of notation, we shall denote $(I + n^{-1}A)^{-1}$ by J_n^A . From the definition

$$(\dagger) \quad A_n u \in A J_n^A u \quad \text{for every } u \in H.$$

We shall denote by Φ the set of all lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) convex functions from H into $(-\infty, +\infty]$, not identically $+\infty$. For $\varphi \in \Phi$, let $D(\varphi) = \{u \in H; \varphi(u) < +\infty\}$ and denote by $\partial\varphi$ the *subdifferential* of φ :

$$\partial\varphi(u) = \{\xi \in H; \varphi(u) - \varphi(v) \leq (\xi, u - v) \text{ for every } v \in H\}$$

with $D(\partial\varphi) = \{u \in H; \partial\varphi(u) \neq \emptyset\}$. It is well known that $\partial\varphi$ is *m-accretive* in H and $D(\partial\varphi)$ is dense in $D(\varphi)$. We refer to [1] and [4] for the properties of *m-accretive* operators in a Hilbert space.

By $AC([0, T]; H)$ we denote the space of all H -valued strongly absolutely continuous functions on $[0, T]$. For other function spaces, we shall employ the usual notation [10].

Definition 1. An H -valued function $u(t)$ is called a *strong solution* of (E), (IC) if

- 1) $u \in AC([\delta, T]; H) \quad (\forall \delta > 0), \quad \frac{du}{dt}(t) \in D(B) \quad \text{a.e. } (0, T),$
- 2) $u(t) \in D(A) \quad \text{a.e. } (0, T)$ and there exists a $\xi(t) \in Au(t)$ such that

$$B \frac{du}{dt}(t) + \xi(t) = f(t) \quad \text{a.e. } (0, T),$$

- 3) $B^{1/2}u \in AC([0, T]; H)$ and $B^{1/2}u(t)$ satisfies (IC).

Remark 1. If in addition $Bu \in AC([0, T]; H)$ then

$$\frac{d}{dt}(Bu)(t) = B \frac{du}{dt}(t) \quad \text{a.e. } (0, T).$$

To establish the existence-uniqueness theorem for (E), (IC), we shall assume the followings.

(A.1) $A = \partial\varphi$, where $\varphi \in \Phi$ and for every $u \in D(\varphi)$

$$\varphi(u) \geq a\|u\|^2 - a' \quad (a, a' > 0).$$

(A.2) For every $\xi \in Au$ and $\eta \in Av$ ($u, v \in D(A)$) there exists a constant $d > 0$ such that

$$(\xi - \eta, u - v) \geq d\|u - v\|^2.$$

(A.3) B is a nonnegative selfadjoint operator in H .

(A.4) For every $u, v \in D(B)$ and integer $n > 0$

$$(A_n u - A_n v, B(u - v)) \geq 0.$$

Remark 2. Note that

$$(\xi - \eta, B(u - v)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for every } \xi \in Au \text{ and } \eta \in Av \text{ } (u, v \in D(A) \cap D(B))$$

implies (A.4) because

$$\begin{aligned} (A_n u - A_n v, B(u - v)) &= \frac{1}{n} \|B^{1/2}(A_n u - A_n v)\|^2 \\ &\quad + (A_n u - A_n v, B(J_n^A u - J_n^A v)) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the selfadjointness of B , the identity

$$(\#) \quad v = n^{-1} A_n v + J_n^A v \quad (v \in H)$$

and (\dagger) .

Theorem 1. Assume that (A.1) ~ (A.4) are satisfied. Then for every $0 < T < +\infty$, $f \in W^{1,2}(0, T; H)$ and $u_0 \in D(A) \cap D(B)$ there exists one and only one strong solution $u(t)$ of (E), (IC) such that

$$u, \xi(\in Au) \in L^2(0, T; H),$$

$$\sqrt{t}(du/dt) \in L^2(0, T; H), \quad (t > 0)$$

and

$$B^{1/2}u, Bu \in AC([0, T]; H), \quad (d/dt)(B^{1/2}u), (d/dt)(Bu) \in L^2(0, T; H).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

1°. *Uniqueness.* From (A.2) and (A.3) uniqueness of solutions can be obtained by the standard procedure.

2°. *Existence.* Consider an approximate equation for (E):

$$(E_n) \quad \left(\frac{1}{n} + B\right) u_n'(t) + A_n u_n(t) = f(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

together with

$$(IC_n) \quad u_n(0) = u_0.$$

Here $' = d/dt$ and for each integer $n > 0$, A_n is the Yosida approximation of A . Since the mapping $v \mapsto (n^{-1} + B)^{-1} A_n v$ from H into H is Lipschitz continuous, the theory of ordinary differential equations in Hilbert space yields that for every $0 < T < +\infty$, $f \in L^2(0, T; H)$ and integer $n > 0$ there exists a unique function $u_n(\cdot) \in C^1([0, T]; D(B))$ which satisfies (E_n) and (IC_n) . We shall prove that u_n converges to a solution u of (E), (IC) as n tends to infinity. To this end some a priori estimates are necessary.

i) Taking the inner product of the both sides of (E_n) by $u_n(t)$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{2n} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_n(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|B^{1/2} u_n(t)\|^2 + (A_n u_n(t), u_n(t)) = (f(t), u_n(t)).$$

For simplicity, for a function $v(t) \in H$ we shall suppress a letter t and denote by v in several places below. Using the identity $(\#)$, (A.2) and (\dagger) we can estimate the terms in (3.1) as

$$(A_n u_n, u_n) = \frac{1}{n} \|A_n u_n\|^2 + (A_n u_n, J_n^A u_n) \geq \frac{1}{n} \|A_n u_n\|^2 + d \|J_n^A u_n\|^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (f, u_n) &\leq \|f\| \left\| \frac{1}{n} A_n u_n + J_n^A u_n \right\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2n} + c \right) \|f\|^2 + \frac{1}{2n} \|A_n u_n\|^2 + \frac{d}{2} \|J_n^A u_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2n} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_n(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|B^{1/2} u_n(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2n} \|A_n u_n(t)\|^2 + \frac{d}{2} \|J_n^A u_n(t)\|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2n} + c \right) \|f(t)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here and in the sequel of this paper, by c we denote various positive constants independent of n in a certain interval $[N, +\infty)$ ($N > 0$). Integrating both sides of this inequality over $(0, t)$ and taking the assumptions on $u_n(0)$ and $f(t)$ into

account we see

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|u_n(t)\| \leq c \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, T],$$

$$(3.3) \quad \|B^{1/2}u_n(t)\| \leq c \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, T],$$

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} |A_n u_n|_T \leq c$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad |J_n^A u_n|_T \leq c.$$

Here $|\cdot|_T$ is the norm in $L^2(0, T; H)$.

ii) Since u_n belongs to $C^1([0, T]; D(B))$ for each integer $n > 0$

$$(3.6) \quad \left(\frac{1}{n} + B\right)u_n'(0) + A_n u_n(0) = f(0)$$

holds. Taking the inner product of the both sides of (3.6) by $n^{-1}u_n'(0)$ we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} u_n'(0) \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} B^{1/2} u_n'(0) \right\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f(0) - A_n u_n(0)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{n} u_n'(0) \right\|^2$$

which implies

$$(3.7) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{n} u_n'(0) \right\| \leq c$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} B^{1/2} u_n'(0) \right\| \leq c.$$

iii) For every $t \in [0, T]$, $h > 0$ and integer $n > 0$

$$(3.9) \quad \left(\frac{1}{n} + B\right)(u_n'(t+h) - u_n'(t)) + A_n u_n(t+h) - A_n u_n(t) = f(t+h) - f(t)$$

holds. Taking the inner product of the both sides of (3.9) by $B(u_n(t+h) - u_n(t))$ and using (A.3) and (A.4) we have

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{2n} \frac{d}{dt} \|B^{1/2}(u_n(t+h) - u_n(t))\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|B(u_n(t+h) - u_n(t))\|^2 \\ \leq (f(t+h) - f(t), B(u_n(t+h) - u_n(t))).$$

Dividing the both sides of (3.10) by h^2 , integrating it over $(0, t)$ and letting h

tends to zero we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \|B^{1/2}u'_n(t)\|^2 + \|Bu'_n(t)\|^2 &\leq c \int_0^t \|f'(t)\|^2 dt + c \int_0^t \|Bu'_n(t)\|^2 dt \\ &\quad + \|Bu'_n(0)\|^2 + \frac{1}{n} \|B^{1/2}u'_n(0)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(3.11) \quad \|Bu'_n(t)\| \leq c \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, T]$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|B^{1/2}u'_n(t)\| \leq c \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, T].$$

Here we have used (3.7), (3.8) and the identity $Bu'_n(0) = -n^{-1}u'_n(0) - A_nu_n(0) + f(0)$. From (3.11) and the assumption $u_0 \in D(B)$ we also have

$$(3.13) \quad \|Bu_n(t)\| \leq c \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, T].$$

iv) Taking the inner product of the both sides of (E_n) by $u'_n(t)$ and integrating it over $(0, t)$ we have

$$\int_0^t \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} u'_n(t) \right\|^2 dt + \int_0^t \|B^{1/2}u'_n(t)\|^2 dt + \varphi_n(u_n(t)) = \varphi_n(u_0) + \int_0^t (f(t), u'_n(t)) dt.$$

Here φ_n is defined by $\varphi_n(v) = (2n)^{-1} \|A_nv\|^2 + \varphi(J_n^A v)$ ($v \in H$) and we have used the fact $(A_nv(t), v'(t)) = (d/dt)\varphi_n(v(t))$ ([4], Lemme 3.3). In order to get the boundedness of $|(1/\sqrt{n})u'_n|_T$ we can estimate the right hand side of this equality as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (f(t), u'_n(t)) dt &= (f(t), u_n(t)) - (f(0), u_0) - \int_0^t (f'(t), u_n(t)) dt \\ &\leq \|f(t)\| \left\| \frac{1}{n} A_nu_n(t) + J_n^A u_n(t) \right\| + \|f(0)\| \|u_0\| \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|f'(t)\| \left\| \frac{1}{n} A_nu_n(t) + J_n^A u_n(t) \right\| dt. \end{aligned}$$

According to (A.1) the first term of the right hand side of this inequality can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t)\| \left\| \frac{1}{n} A_nu_n + J_n^A u_n \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{4n} \|A_nu_n\|^2 + \frac{c}{n} \|f(t)\|^2 + \frac{a}{2} \|J_n^A u_n\|^2 + c \|f(t)\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2n} \|A_nu_n\|^2 + \varphi(J_n^A u_n) \right) + \left(\frac{c}{n} + c \right) \|f(t)\|^2 + \frac{a'}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \varphi_n(u_n(t)) + c \|f(t)\|^2 + c. \end{aligned}$$

Combining them we get by (3.4) and (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} u'_n(t) \right\|^2 dt + \int_0^t \|B^{1/2} u'_n(t)\|^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \varphi_n(u_n(t)) \\ & \leq c + \int_0^T \|f'(t)\| \left\| \frac{1}{n} A_n u_n(t) + J_n^A u_n(t) \right\| dt \\ & \leq c + \left(\frac{c}{n} + c \right) |f'|_T^2 + \frac{c}{n} \int_0^T \|A_n u_n(t)\|^2 dt + c \int_0^T \|J_n^A u_n(t)\|^2 dt \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

From this inequality and taking $\varphi(J_n^A v) < \varphi_n(v)$ ($v \in H$) into account, we see

$$(3.14) \quad \|J_n^A u_n(t)\| \leq c \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, T],$$

$$(3.15) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} u'_n \right|_T \leq c$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad |B^{1/2} u'_n|_T \leq c.$$

v) Taking the inner product of the both sides of (3.9) by $t(u_n(t+h) - u_n(t))$, ($t > 0$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2n} \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{t}(u_n(t+h) - u_n(t))\|^2 - \frac{1}{2n} \|u_n(t+h) - u_n(t)\|^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2n} \frac{d}{dt} \|\sqrt{t} B^{1/2}(u_n(t+h) - u_n(t))\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|B^{1/2}(u_n(t+h) - u_n(t))\|^2 \\ & + t(A_n u_n(t+h) - A_n u_n(t), u_n(t+h) - u_n(t)) \\ & = t(f(t+h) - f(t), u_n(t+h) - u_n(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing the both sides of this equality by h^2 , integrating it over $(0, T)$ and letting h tends to zero, we see using (#), (A.2), (3.15) and (3.16) that

$$(3.17) \quad |\sqrt{t} u'_n|_T \leq c.$$

vi) From (E_n) and the estimates (3.11) and (3.15) we finally obtain

$$(3.18) \quad |A_n u_n|_T = \left| f - B u'_n - \frac{1}{n} u'_n \right|_T \leq c$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad |u_n|_T \leq c.$$

Here in (3.19) we have used (3.5), (3.18) and the identity (#).

From these estimates obtained in the steps i) ~ vi) it follows that a subsequence (denoted again by u_n) can be extracted from $\{u_n\}$ such that as $n \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightarrow u && \text{in } L^2(0, T; H) \text{ weakly,} \\ \frac{1}{n} u'_n &\rightarrow 0 && \text{in } L^2(0, T; H), \\ \sqrt{t} u'_n &\rightarrow \sqrt{t} u' && \text{in } L^2(0, T; H) \text{ weakly,} \\ B^{1/2} u_n &\rightarrow B^{1/2} u && \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; H) \text{ weakly star,} \\ Bu_n &\rightarrow Bu && \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; H) \text{ weakly star,} \\ B^{1/2} u'_n &\rightarrow (B^{1/2} u)' && \text{in } L^2(0, T; H) \text{ weakly,} \\ Bu'_n &\rightarrow (Bu)' && \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; H) \text{ weakly star,} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_n u_n \rightarrow \xi \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H) \text{ weakly.}$$

Note that $(Bu)'(t) = Bu'(t)$ holds a.e. $(0, T)$ by means of Remark 1.

Now passing to the limit in (E_n) as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ we see

$$B \frac{du}{dt}(t) + \xi(t) = f(t) \quad \text{a.e. } (0, T).$$

Thus for concluding the proof, we need only to show that $\xi(t) \in \partial\varphi(u(t))$ for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$ and $B^{1/2}u(t)$ satisfies (IC).

For every integer $m, n > 0$ we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{m} u'_m - \frac{1}{n} u'_n, u_m - u_n \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|B^{1/2}(u_m - u_n)\|^2 + (A_m u_m - A_n u_n, u_m - u_n) = 0.$$

We see from (3.15) and (3.19) that

$$\left| \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{m} u'_m - \frac{1}{n} u'_n, u_m - u_n \right) dt \right| \leq c \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

and from (A.2) and (3.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (A_m u_m - A_n u_n, u_m - u_n) dt &= \int_0^t \left(A_m u_m - A_n u_n, \frac{1}{m} A_m u_m - \frac{1}{n} A_n u_n \right) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (A_m u_m - A_n u_n, J_m^A u_m - J_n^A u_n) dt \\ &\geq -c \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \right) + d \int_0^t \|J_m^A u_m - J_n^A u_n\|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence combining them we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|B^{1/2}(u_m(t) - u_n(t))\|^2 + d \int_0^t \|u_m(t) - u_n(t)\|^2 dt \leq c \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

which follows

$$(3.20) \quad u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H) \text{ strongly}$$

and

$$(3.21) \quad B^{1/2}u_n \rightarrow B^{1/2}u \quad \text{in } C([0, T]; H) \text{ strongly.}$$

Then in virtue of (3.20) and demiclosedness of A , we see $u(t) \in D(A)$ and $\xi(t) \in Au(t)$ for a.a. $t \in (0, T)$. Finally $B^{1/2}u(t)$ satisfies (IC) by means of (3.21). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Application

We shall apply Theorem 1 to the initial-boundary value problem for some nonlinear partial differential equations.

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. We shall denote Δ the Laplacian in \mathbf{R}^N and $\partial/\partial n$ the outward normal derivative at $\partial\Omega$.

Example 1. Consider an initial-boundary value problem

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} + (I - \Delta)u(x, t) = f(x, t) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial n} \in -\beta(u(x, t)), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left(\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} \right) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ (-\Delta)^{1/2}u(x, t)|_{t=0} = (-\Delta)^{1/2}u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here $x \in \Omega$, $t \in (0, T)$, $0 < T < +\infty$ and β is an m -accretive operator in \mathbf{R} such that $D(\beta)$ is dense in \mathbf{R} . (Then there exists a l.s.c. convex function $j: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ such that $j \neq +\infty$ and $\partial j = \beta$, [1].)

Let $B = -\Delta_B = -\Delta$ with $D(B) = \{u \in H^2(\Omega); (\partial u/\partial n)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$, then B is a nonnegative selfadjoint operator in $H \equiv L^2(\Omega)$. Let $\varphi: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a function defined by

$$\varphi(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|u(x)|^2 + |\nabla u(x)|^2) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} j(u) d\sigma & \text{if } u \in H^1(\Omega), j(u) \in L^1(\partial\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then it is well known [1] that $\partial\varphi(u) = (I - \Delta)u$, $u \in D(\partial\varphi)$, where $D(\partial\varphi) = \{u \in H^2(\Omega); \partial u/\partial n \in -\beta(u) \text{ a.e. } \partial\Omega\}$. Set $A = \partial\varphi$. We denote $\Delta_A = I - \partial\varphi = I - A$.

Then applying Theorem 1 to (*) we have the following.

Theorem 2. Assume $j(u) \geq 0$ a.e. on $\partial\Omega$. Then for every $f \in W^{1,2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ and $u_0 \in D(A) \cap D(B)$ there exists a unique function $u(x, t)$ which satisfies (*) such that

$$u, (I - \Delta_A)u \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \quad \sqrt{t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(-\Delta_B)^{1/2}u, -\Delta_B u \in AC([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)), \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial t}((-\Delta_B)^{1/2}u), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(-\Delta_B u) \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3) are clear. Then in order to apply Theorem 1 we only show that (A.4) holds. For every $u, v \in D(A) \cap D(B)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(Au - Av, B(u - v))_H \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \{(I - \Delta_A)u(x) - (I - \Delta_A)v(x)\} \{-\Delta_B(u(x) - v(x))\} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u(x) - v(x))|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \Delta_A u(x) \Delta_B u(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} \Delta_A v(x) \Delta_B v(x) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \Delta_A u(x) \Delta_B v(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} \Delta_A v(x) \Delta_B u(x) dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u(x) - v(x))|^2 dx \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which means (A.4) by taking Remark 2 into account.

Example 2. Let $e(x)$ be an element of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $e(x) \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω . Consider an initial-boundary value problem

$$(**) \quad \begin{cases} e(x) \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} + (I - \Delta)u(x, t) = f(x, t) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial n} \in -\beta(u(x, t)) & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ \sqrt{e(x)}u(x, t)|_{t=0} = \sqrt{e(x)}u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Let $Bu = e(x)u$ with $D(B) = L^2(\Omega) \equiv H$ and let $\varphi : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a function defined as in Example 1. Set $A = \partial\varphi$. Then B is a (bounded) non-negative selfadjoint operator in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $A = I - \Delta$ with $D(A) = \{u \in H^2(\Omega);$

$\partial u / \partial n \in -\beta(u)$ a.e. $\partial\Omega$ is an m -accretive operator in $L^2(\Omega)$ which satisfies (A.1) and (A.2). Then Theorem 1 is applicable to (**). Note that, in this case, the assumption (A.4) is not necessary because $|B^{1/2}u'_n|_T \leq c$ implies $|Bu'_n|_T \leq c$.

References

- [1] Barbu, V., *Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Noordhoff, Leyden, 1976.
- [2] Barenblatt, G. I., Zheltov, I. P. and Kochina, I. N., Basic concepts in the theory of seepage of homogeneous liquids in fissured rocks, *J. Appl. Math. Mech.*, **24** (1960), 1286–1303.
- [3] Benjamin, T. B., Bona, J. L. and Mahoney, J. J., Model equations for long waves in nonlinear dispersive systems, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A*, **272** (1972), 47–78.
- [4] Brézis, H., *Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semi-groupes de contraction dans les espace de Hilbert*, Math. Studies 5, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [5] Brill, H., A semilinear Sobolev equation in a Banach space, *J. Differential Equations*, **24** (1977), 412–425.
- [6] Carrol, R. W. and Showalter, R. E., *Singular and Degenerate Cauchy Problems*, Academic Press, New York/London, 1976.
- [7] Chen, P. J. and Gurtin, M. E., On a theory of heat conduction involving two temperatures, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **19** (1968), 614–627.
- [8] Kojo, T. (formerly Matahashi) and Tsutsumi, M., Initial value problem for an abstract differential equation in a Hilbert space, *Bull. Sci. Eng. Res. Lab., Waseda Univ.*, **87** (1979), 64–69.
- [9] Lagnese, J. E., General boundary value problems for differential equations of Sobolev type, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **3** (1972), 105–119.
- [10] Lions, J. L., *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, Paris, 1969.
- [11] Showalter, R. E., Degenerate parabolic initial-boundary value problems, *J. Differential Equations*, **31** (1979), 296–312.
- [12] Sobolev, S. L., Some new problems in mathematical physics, *Izv. Akad. Nauk, SSSR*, **18** (1954), 3–50 (Russian).
- [13] Tsutsumi, M. and Kojo, T. (formerly Matahashi), On some nonlinear pseudo-parabolic equations, *J. Differential Equations*, **32** (1979), 65–75.
- [14] Tsutsumi, M. and Kojo, T. (formerly Matahashi), A certain class of singular nonlinear pseudo-parabolic equations, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, **22** (1979), 313–325.
- [15] Zaidman, S., *Topics in abstract differential equations*, Pitman Research Notes in Math., 304, Longman, 1994.

nuna adreso:

Tomomi Kojo
 Faculty of Systems Engineering
 Shibaura Institute of Technology
 Fukasaku, Omiya
 Saitama 330
 Japan

Masayoshi Tsutsumi
Department of Applied Physics
School of Science and Engineering
Waseda University
3-4-1 Okubo, Shinjuku-ku
Tokyo 169
Japan

(Ricevita la 26-an de februaro, 1996)