

## Hille-Wintner Type Comparison Theorems for Nonlinear Difference Equations

By

Sui Sun CHENG

(Tsing Hua University, Republic of China)

In [1], a sufficient condition is derived for the existence of a positive nondecreasing solution of a class of nonlinear difference equation of the form

$$\Delta(\Delta y_{k-1})^\sigma + s_k y_k^\sigma = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  (this will be assumed in the sequel). In this note, we are concerned with a Hille-Wintner type comparison theorem for the existence of a positive nondecreasing solution of a slightly more general equation

$$(1) \quad \Delta(r_{k-1}(\Delta z_{k-1})^\sigma) + s_k z_k^\sigma = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

where  $r_k > 0$  for  $k \geq 0$ . To be more precise, we shall assume that there is a positive nondecreasing solution for a “majorant” equation of the form

$$(2) \quad \Delta(R_{k-1}(\Delta y_{k-1})^\sigma) + S_k y_k^\sigma = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

and then show that (1) has a positive nondecreasing solution also. The full conditions on  $\{R_k\}_0^\infty$  and  $\{S_k\}_1^\infty$  will be stated later, here we shall only assume that  $\{R_k\}$  is a positive sequence bounded by a positive constant  $\Gamma$ . In case  $\sigma = 1$ , the corresponding equations reduce to linear difference equations and a Hille-Wintner type comparison theorem for positive solutions (not positive nondecreasing) of these equations has already been given by Hooker [3].

The following result (see for example [4, Theorem 41]) will be needed in the sequel.

**Lemma 0.** *If  $x, y \geq 0$  and  $p > 1$ , then  $x^p - y^p \leq px^{p-1}(x - y)$ .*

We begin our study by assuming that (2) has a positive nondecreasing solution  $\{y_k\}_0^\infty$ . Then letting

$$(3.) \quad w_k = R_k(\Delta y_k)^\sigma / y_k^\sigma, \quad k \geq 0$$

we see that  $w_k \geq 0$  for  $k \geq 0$  and

$$(4) \quad \Delta w_k + \frac{w_k}{(w_k^{1/\sigma} + R_k^{1/\sigma})^\sigma} \{(w_k^{1/\sigma} + R_k^{1/\sigma})^\sigma - R_k\} + S_{k+1} = 0, \quad k \geq 0.$$

For convenience, we shall denote

$$\frac{x}{(x^{1/\sigma} + t^{1/\sigma})^\sigma} \{(x^{1/\sigma} + t^{1/\sigma}) - t\}$$

by  $F(t, x)$ . Then (4) can be rewritten as

$$(5) \quad \Delta w_k + F(R_k, w_k) + S_{k+1} = 0, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Note that the function  $F(t, x)$  is defined on the set

$$\Omega = \{(t, x) | 0 < t < \Gamma, x^{1/\sigma} + t^{1/\sigma} > 0\}.$$

Note further that even though  $F(t, 0) = 0$ , the general behavior of  $F(t, x)$  depends on the value of  $\sigma$  and also varies on different portions of  $\Omega$ . There are two different portions which are of interest, namely,

$$\Omega_1 = \{(t, x) \in \Omega | x \geq 0\}$$

and

$$\Omega_2 = \{(t, x) \in \Omega | x^{1/\sigma} + t^{1/\sigma} > 0, x \leq 0\}$$

Since

$$F_t(t, x) = \frac{-x^{1+\sigma}}{(x^{1/\sigma} + t^{1/\sigma})^{1+\sigma}},$$

it is clear that  $F_t(t, x) \leq 0$  on  $\Omega_1$  and on  $\Omega_2$  when  $\sigma$  is a quotient of odd positive integers. Since

$$F_x(t, x) = \frac{(x^{1/\sigma} + t^{1/\sigma})^{1+\sigma} - (t^{1/\sigma})^{1+\sigma}}{(x^{1/\sigma} + t^{1/\sigma})^{1+\sigma}},$$

it is also clear that  $F_x(t, x) \geq 0$  on  $\Omega_1$ . Furthermore, since

$$(x^{1/\sigma} + t^{1/\sigma})^{1+\sigma} - (t^{1/\sigma})^{1+\sigma} \leq (1 + \sigma)(x^{1/\sigma} + t^{1/\sigma})^\sigma x^{1/\sigma}$$

by Lemma 0, we see that  $F_x(t, x) \leq 0$  on  $\Omega_2$  when  $\sigma$  is a quotient of odd positive integers.

As a consequence, if  $\{(t_n, x_n)\}_0^\infty$  is a sequence of points in  $\Omega_1$  such that  $x_n \geq \hat{x} > 0$ , then

$$F(t_n, x_n) \geq F(\Gamma, x_n) \geq F(\Gamma, \hat{x}).$$

From this, we see that if  $\{(t_n, x_n)\}_0^\infty$  is a sequence of points in  $\Omega_1$  such that  $F(t_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow 0$ . A similar conclusion also holds when  $\sigma$  is a quotient of odd positive integers.

**Lemma 1.** If  $\{(t_n, x_n)\}_0^\infty$  is a sequence in  $\Omega_1$  such that  $F(t_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow 0$ . If  $\sigma$  is a quotient of odd positive integers and  $\{(t_n, x_n)\}_0^\infty$  is a sequence in  $\Omega$  such that  $F(t_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow 0$ .

**Lemma 2.** Suppose the sequence  $\{S_k\}_1^\infty$  satisfies

$$(6) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k < \infty.$$

Then (2) has a positive nondecreasing solution  $\{y_k\}_0^\infty$  if and only if there is a nonnegative sequence  $\{w_k\}_0^\infty$  which satisfies

$$(7) \quad w_k = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} F(R_i, w_i) + \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} S_{i+1} \quad k \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* By summing (5) from  $k = n$  to  $N$ , we have

$$(8) \quad w_{N+1} - w_n + \sum_{k=n}^N F(R_k, w_k) = - \sum_{k=n}^N S_{k+1} \quad n \geq 0.$$

If

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} F(R_k, w_k) = +\infty$$

then  $w_{N+1}$  diverges to  $-\infty$  in view of (6). But this is impossible since  $w_k^{1/\sigma} \geq 0$ . Thus

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} F(R_k, w_k) < +\infty$$

which implies  $F(R_k, w_k)$  converges to zero. Thus by Lemma 1,  $w_k \rightarrow 0$  so that taking limit on both sides of (8) as  $N$  approaches positive infinity, we obtain (7) as required.

Conversely, if  $\{w_k\}_0^\infty$  is a nonnegative sequence which satisfies (7), then taking difference of both sides of (7) leads immediately to equation (4). Writing (3) in the form

$$y_{k+1}/y_k = 1 + R_k^{-1/\sigma} w_k^{1/\sigma} \quad k \geq 0,$$

we may easily verify that the sequence  $\{u_k\}_0^\infty$ , defined by  $u_0 = 1$  and  $u_{k+1} = u_k(1 + R_k^{-1/\sigma} w_k^{1/\sigma})$  for  $k \geq 0$ , is a positive nondecreasing solution of (2). Q.E.D.

We are ready for the main theorem of our note.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $0 < R_k \leq r_k$  and  $R_k \leq \Gamma$  for all  $k \geq 0$  and some constant  $\Gamma$ . Suppose further that

$$(9) \quad 0 \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} s_k \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} S_k < \infty$$

for all sufficiently large  $n$ . If equation (2) has a positive nondecreasing solution, so does equation (1).

*Proof.* By Lemma 2, equation (7) has a nonnegative solution  $\{w_k\}_0^\infty$ . In view of the same Lemma, to prove our theorem, it suffices to find a nonnegative solution to

$$(10) \quad u_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} F(r_k, u_k) + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} s_{k+1}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

We shall use a successive approximation argument to accomplish this. Define a sequence of successive approximation  $\{u^j\}_0^\infty$  as follows:

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} u_k^0 &= w_k, & k \geq 0 \\ u_k^{j+1} &= \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} F(r_i, u_i^j) + \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} s_{i+1}, & k \geq 0, j \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F(r_i, u_i^0) \leq F(R_i, u_i^0)$  by Lemma 1, thus

$$0 \leq u_k^1 = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} F(r_i, u_i^0) + \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} s_{i+1} \leq \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} F(R_i, u_i^0) + \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} S_{i+1} = w_k = u_k^0, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Proceeding inductively, we assume that  $0 \leq u_k^j \leq u_k^{j-1}$  for  $k \geq 0$ . Then

$$0 \leq u_k^{j+1} = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} F(r_i, u_i^j) + \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} s_{i+1} \leq \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} F(R_i, u_i^{j-1}) + \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} s_{i+1} = u_k^j, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Thus  $u_k^j$  is nonnegative and nonincreasing in  $j$  for each  $k \geq 0$  so that we may define

$$u_k = \lim_j u_k^j, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Since  $0 \leq u_k \leq u_k^j \leq u_k^0 = w_k$  for all  $j \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 0$ , and since

$$F(r_i, u_i^j) \leq F(R_i, u_i^j) \leq F(R_i, u_i^0)$$

the convergence of the series in the first sum of (11) is uniform respect to  $j$ . Taking limit on both sides of (11), we then obtain (10) as required.

Q.E.D.

As an example, let us quote a result in [1] which states that equation (2) has a positive nondecreasing solution when  $R_k = 1$  for  $k \geq 0$  and  $S_k \geq 0$  for  $k \geq 1$  and

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} S_k \leq \frac{1}{e^{2\sigma} 2^{n\sigma}}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Combining this and Theorem 1, we see that (1) has a positive nondecreasing solution if  $r_k \geq 1$  for  $k \geq 0$  and

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} s_k \leq \frac{1}{e^{2\sigma} 2^{n\sigma}}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Up to now we have assumed that  $\sigma$  is an arbitrary positive number. Suppose  $\sigma$  is a quotient of two odd positive integers. Then a slight variation of the proof of Lemma 2 leads readily to

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose  $\sigma$  is a quotient of two positive odd integers. Suppose further that (6) holds. Then (2) has a positive solution if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{w_k\}_0^\infty$  which satisfies  $w_k^{1/\sigma} + R_k^{1/\sigma} > 0$  for  $k \geq 0$  and equation (7).*

By means of Lemma 3, we can then obtain a generalization of the result of Hooker mentioned earlier.

**Theorem 2.** *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1, suppose further that  $\sigma$  is a quotient of two positive odd integers, then (1) has a positive solution when (2) does.*

Finally, we remark that when  $\sigma$  is a quotient of positive integers with odd denominator and even numerator, our previous derivation still goes through even if we do not assume  $\Delta y_k \geq 0$  in (3). Consequently, Theorem 2 still holds when the value of  $\sigma$  is replaced by the above stated quotient.

### References

- [ 1 ] Cheng, S. S. and Patula, W. T., An existence theorem for a nonlinear differential equation, to appear in *Nonlinear Anal.*
- [ 2 ] Swanson, C., *Comparison and Oscillation Theory of Linear Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [ 3 ] Hooker, J., A Hille-Wintner type comparison theorem for second order difference equations, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **6** (1983), 387–394.
- [ 4 ] Hardy, G. H., Littlewood J. E. and Polya, G., *Inequalities*, 2nd Ed., Cambridge University Press, 1952.

nuna adreso:  
Department of Mathematics  
Tsing Hua University  
Hsinchu, Taiwan  
R.O.C.

(Ricevita la 13-an de novembro, 1992)