On Algebraic Differential Equations

By Yasutaka SIBUYA¹⁾

(University of Minnesota)

Dedicated to Professor Tokui Sato on the Occasion of His Retirement

1. Introduction.

We shall consider a differential equation

(E)
$$F(x, y, y') \equiv P(x, y)(y')^2 + 2Q(x, y)y' + R(x, y) = 0,$$

where y' = dy/dx, and P, Q and R are polynomials in y whose coefficients are holomorphic in x in a neighborhood of x=0. Assume that a solution y=y(x)admits an essential singularity ω at x=0. Then, according to a theorem of T. Kimura [1], the solution y(x) takes all complex values (other than a finite number of possible exceptional values) in every small neighborhood of ω . The exceptional values are determined by P, Q and R explicitly. Let y_0 be different from such an exceptional value. Then by virtue of Kimura's theorem, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_n \rightarrow \omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $y(x_n) = y_0$ for every n. However, this does not mean that y'(x) takes all possible values. In other words, even if $p = \varphi(x)$ is a root of $F(x, y_0, p) = 0$, there may not exist any sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_n \rightarrow \omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $y(x_n) = y_0$ and $y'(x_n) = \varphi(x_n)$ for every n.

To illustrate such a situation, we shall consider the differential equation :

(1.1)
$$x(y')^2 + 2yy' + y^3 = 0.$$

If y is bounded, two roots of $xp^2+2yp+y^3=0$ are

$$p = \varphi_1(x, y) = -x^{-1}y \left\{ 2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(xy)^n \right\}$$

and

$$p = \varphi_2(x, y) = y^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n (xy)^{n-1},$$

where

$$\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n(xy)^n\right)^2=1-xy.$$

If, for y_0 , there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

¹⁾ This paper was written with partial support from the National Science Foundation under Grant No. GP-7041 X. The author wishes to express his appreciation to Professor Tosiya Saito for his encouragement and advice during the preparation of this paper.

Yasutaka SIBUYA

(1.2)
$$\begin{cases} x_n \to \omega \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \\ y(x_n) = y_0 \quad \text{for every } n, \\ y'(x_n) = \varphi_2(x_n, y_0) \quad \text{for every } n, \end{cases}$$

the solution y(x) must be holomorphic at x=0. On the other hand, by solving $y'=\varphi_1(x,y)$, we can find a solution of (1.1) which admits an essential singularity ω at x=0. For this solution, the situation (1.2) is impossible in the neighborhood of ω .

Let us construct another example. It can be shown that a differential equation of the form

$$xz' = x \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{2n} \right\} + z^3,$$

 a_n being constants, has a solution z=z(x) which admits an ordinary transcendental singularity ω at x=0, and that $z(x)\to 0$ as $x\to \omega$. Furthermore, $y(x)=x^{-1}z(x)$ admits an essential singularity at ω . Keeping this remark in mind, consider the equation

(1.3)
$$(xy'+y-x^2y^3)^2=1+(xy)^2$$
.

Putting z=xy, we derive from (1.3) the equation

$$(z'-x^{-1}z^3)^2=1+z^2.$$

Let

$$1+z^2=\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n z^{2n}\right)^2,$$

where α_n are constants, and consider

$$xz' = x\left\{1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^{2n}\right\} + z^3.$$

As we mentioned above, this equation has a solution z=z(x) which admits an ordinary transcendental singularity ω at x=0, and $z(x)\rightarrow 0$ as $x\rightarrow \omega$. Furthermore, $y(x)=x^{-1}z(x)$ is a solution of (1.3) which admits an essential singularity at ω . Note that

$$xy'+y-x^2y^3=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n(xy)^{2n},$$

in the neighborhood of ω . This means that, in the neighborhood of ω , y(x) does not satisfy

$$xy'+y-x^2y^3 = -1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n (xy)^{2n}$$

which is another branch of (1.3).

52

It must be clearly remarked that the solution y(x) of (1.3) which was constructed above admits an essential singularity ω at x=0, but z(x)=xy(x) admits an ordinary transcendental singularity at ω . Let us call such a singularity a singularity of calss (A). A precise definition of singularities of class (A) will be given in Section 2. The purpose of the present work is to show that, if ω is not of class (A), then not only y(x) but also y'(x) take all possible values in every neighborhood of ω . In other words (and very roughly speaking), we claim that, if a singularity ω is not of class (A), then the point (y(x), y'(x)) moves almost all over the Riemann surface F(x, y, p)=0 in every small neighborhood of ω .

2. Main theorem.

A rational function H(x, y, p) in y and p is said to be non-constant on the Riemann surface F(x, y, p)=0 for each fixed x, if there is no function a(x) of x such that $H(x, y, p)\equiv a(x)$ for F(x, y, p)=0. Assume that a solution y(x) of (E) admits a singularity ω at x=0. The singularity ω is said to be of class (A), if there exists a rational function H(x, y, p) in y and p, which is non-constant on the Riemann surface F(x, y, p)=0 for each fixed x and whose coefficients are holomorphic at x=0, such that H(x, y(x), y'(x)) admits at most an ordinary transcendental singularity at ω . For example, the solution y(x) of (1.3) which was constructed in Section 1 admits an essential singularity ω of class (A) at x=0. To see this, it is sufficient to put H(x, y, p)=xy. In general, if F(x, y, p) is irreducible with respect to y and p, and if y(x) admits an essential singularity ω at x=0 and if y(x) admits only a finite number of branches around ω , then ω is not of class (A). In fact, if it were of class (A), there would be a rational function H(x, y, p) in y and p such that

(i) its coefficients are holomorphic at x=0,

(ii) it is non-constant on the Riemann surface F(x, y, p) = 0 for each fixed x,

(iii) H(x, y(x), y'(x)) admits at most an ordinary transcendental singularity at ω .

Since y(x) admits only a finite number of branches at ω , H(x, y(x), y'(x)) can not admit any transcendental singularity at ω . Put $\lambda(x) = H(x, y(x), y'(x))$. Then

$$\lambda(x) = H(x, y, p)$$

is not an identity on the surface F(x, y, p) = 0. Let us eliminate p from $\lambda(x) = H(x, y, p)$ and F(x, y, p) = 0 to obtain a non-trivial relation G(x, y) = 0. This is possible, since F is irreducible. It is clear that G is a polynomial in y whose coefficients can not admit any transcendental singularity at ω . However, this is

impossible, since y(x) admits an essential singularity at ω . This proves that ω is not of class (A). The reasoning given above was used by J. Malmquist [3] in his study of algebraic differential equations.

Equation (E) can be rewritten as

(E)
$$(P(x, y)y' + Q(x, y))^2 - D(x, y) = 0,$$

where

$$D(x, y) = Q(x, y)^2 - P(x, y)R(x, y).$$

If we assume that P and Q may admit poles with respect to x at x=0, we can assume without loss of generality that $D(0, y) \neq 0$. Assume that $D(0, y_0) \neq 0$ and let

$$q = \varphi(x, y_0) = \alpha(y_0) + O(x)$$
 and $q = -\varphi(x, y_0)$

be two roots of $q^2 = D(x, y_0)$, where $(\alpha(y_0))^2 = D(0, y_0)$.

Now we can state our main theorem.

Theorem. Assume that a solution y(x) of (E) admits an essential singularity ω at x=0 and that ω is not of class (A). Then there exist two sequences $\{x_{n,1}\}$ and $\{x_{n,2}\}$ such that

(2.1)
$$\begin{cases} x_{n,j} \to \omega \text{ as } n \to \infty, \quad j=1,2, \quad y(x_{n,j}) = y_0, \quad j=1,2, \\ P(x_{n,1},y_0)y'(x_{n,1}) + Q(x_{n,1},y_0) = \varphi(x_{n,1},y_0), \\ P(x_{n,2},y_0)y'(x_{n,2}) + Q(x_{n,2},y_0) = -\varphi(x_{n,2},y_0), \\ n=1,2,\cdots, \end{cases}$$

if y_0 is different from a finite number of exceptional values.

3. An example.

It was shown in Section 1 that, if a solution y(x) of (1.1) admits a singularity ω at x=0, then such a situation as (1.2) is impossible in the neighborhood of ω . If the assertion of our theorem is true, then every singularity ω at x=0 of a solution y(x) of (1.1) must be of class (A). In this section, we shall prove that this is actually the case. The proof of our main theorem which will be given in Sections 4 and 5 will be very similar to the proof given in this section.

Equation (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$(3.1) (xy'+y)^2 - (y^2 - xy^3) = 0.$$

Let us put

$$w = (y - y_0)^{-1}(q + y_0\varphi(x, y_0)),$$

where (x, y, q) satisfies

On Algebraic Differential Equations

(3.2)

$$q^2 = y^2 - xy^3$$

and

$$\varphi(x, y_0) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n (xy_0)^n, \quad (\varphi(x, y_0))^2 = 1 - xy_0,$$

and α_n are constants. Note that

$$\begin{split} & w^2 = (y - y_0)^{-2} \{ q^2 + 2y_0 q \varphi(x, y_0) + y_0^2(\varphi(x, y_0))^2 \} \\ &= (y - y_0)^{-2} \{ y^2 - xy^3 + 2y_0 q \varphi(x, y_0) + y_0^2(\varphi(x, y_0))^2 \}, \end{split}$$

and that $(y-y_0)^{-2}q$ is bounded as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, if we put

$$(3.3) v=w^2+xy,$$

we can prove that v is bounded if (x, y, q) is on the surface (3.2) and |y| is sufficiently large. Now assume that v tends to infinity under the assumption that (x, y, q) is on the surface (3.2). This means that w tends to infinity, but y remains bounded. Hence y tends to y_0 . Thus we get

$$q = y\varphi(x, y)$$
 or $q = -y\varphi(x, y)$.

If $q = -y\varphi(x, y)$, then $q + y_0\varphi(x, y_0) = O(|y-y_0|)$, and hence w must be bounded. Therefore, if v tends to infinity, we must have $q = y\varphi(x, y)$ and $y \rightarrow y_0$.

Now we claim that

$$v(x) = H(x, y(x), y'(x)),$$

where

$$H(x, y, p) = (y - y_0)^{-2} \{xp + y + y_0 \varphi(x, y_0)\}^2 + xy,$$

is bounded in the neighborhood of ω . Note that

 $(xp+y)^2 = y^2 - xy^3$

if y=y(x) and p=y'(x). If v(x) were not bounded in the neighborhood of ω , there would be a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_n \to \omega$ as $n \to \infty$, and $v(x_n) \to \infty$. Then $y(x_n) \to y_0$ and $x_n y'(x_n) + y(x_n) = y(x_n)\varphi(x_n, y(x_n))$, or

$$x_n \rightarrow \omega \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$y(x_n) \rightarrow y_0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$y'(x_n) = (y(x_n))^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m (x_n y(x_n))^{m-1}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \cdots.$

Then y(x) must be holomorphic at ω . This is a contradiction. Therefore v(x) is bounded in the neighborhood of ω . On the other hand, it is easily shown that v(x) satisfies an algebraic differential equation. Hence by virtue of Kimu-

Yasutaka Sibuya

ra's theorem [1], v(x) can not admit an essential singularity at ω . This proves that ω is of class (A).

The construction of H(x, y, p) amounts to a construction of an analytic function on the Riemann surface F(x, y, p)=0 which admits a pole only at a given point. A difficulty arises from the fact that the Riemann surface depends on an extra parameter x. We must study the behavior of such an analytic function as $x \rightarrow 0$. The construction given above was derived from the addition formula for Weierstrass elliptic function p(u):

$$\mathfrak{p}(u+a) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}'(u) - \mathfrak{p}'(a)}{\mathfrak{p}(u) - \mathfrak{p}(a)} \right)^2 - \mathfrak{p}(u) - \mathfrak{p}(a).$$

Roughly speaking, by replacing $\mathfrak{p}(u+a)$, $\mathfrak{p}(u)$, $\mathfrak{p}(a)$, $\mathfrak{p}'(u)$ and $\mathfrak{p}'(a)$ by v, y, y_0 , q and q_0 respectively, we arrive at the definition of v(x) given above.

An application of such an analytic function as H(x, y, p) to the study of algebraic differential equations was made by J. Malmquist [3]. An expository treatment of the global theory of algebraic differential equations has been given by T. Kimura [2].

4. Proof of main theorem : Part I.

We shall prove the existence of $\{x_{n,1}\}$. To do this, consider a surface defined by

(4.1)
$$q^2 = D(x, y).$$

Define $\varphi(x, y_0)$ in the same way as in Section 2, and put

$$(4.2) u = \varphi(x, y_0) + q,$$

where (x, y, q) is on the surface (4.1). Let

(4.3)
$$u^m = R_m(x, y) + S_m(x, y)q$$
 $(m=1, 2, \cdots),$

where R_m and S_m are polynomials in y whose coefficients are holomorphic at x = 0. We shall prove that

(4.4)
$$S_m(0, y_0) \neq 0$$
 for $m = 1, 2, \cdots$.

To do this, note that at $u^{m+1} = u^m u$ implies

$$R_{m+1}(x, y) = R_m(x, y)\varphi(x, y_0) + S_m(x, y)D(x, y),$$

$$S_{m+1}(x, y) = R_m(x, y) + S_m(x, y)\varphi(x, y_0).$$

Hence

$$R_{m+1}(0, y_0) = R_m(0, y_0)\varphi(0, y_0) + S_m(0, y_0)D(0, y_0)$$

= $R_m(0, y_0)\varphi(0, y_0) + S_m(0, y_0)(\varphi(0, y_0))^2$
= $\varphi(0, y_0) \{R_m(0, y_0) + S_m(0, y_0)\varphi(0, y_0)\}$

56

On Algebraic Differential Equations

$$=\varphi(0, y_0)S_{m+1}(0, y_0).$$

Thus we obtain

$$S_{m+1}(0, y_0) = 2S_m(0, y_0)\varphi(0, y_0)$$
 $(m=1, 2, \cdots).$

Since $S_1(x, y) \equiv 1$, we can prove (4.4) by induction.

Now let us put

$$(4.5) w = \frac{u}{y - y_0}$$

to obtain

(4.6)
$$w^{m} = \frac{R_{m}(x, y)}{(y - y_{0})^{m}} + q \frac{S_{m}(x, y)}{(y - y_{0})^{m}}.$$

The coefficient of q can be written as

(4.7)
$$\frac{S_m(x,y)}{(y-y_0)^m} = A_m(x,y) + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{a_{m,k}(x)}{(y-y_0)^k},$$

where $A_m(x, y)$ is a polynomial in y whose coefficients are holomorphic in x at x=0, and $a_{m,k}(x)$ are holomorphic at x=0. It is easily seen that we have

(4.8)
$$a_{m,m}(0) = S_m(0, y_0) \neq 0 \quad (m=1, 2, \cdots).$$

Denote by d the degree of D(x, y) with respect to y, and put

(4.9)
$$g = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} d+1 & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{2} (d+1) & \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then $q(y-y_0)^{-g}$ is bounded as y tends to infinity.

Observe that

$$w^{g} = (y - y_{0})^{-g} R_{g}(x, y) + A_{g}(x, y)q + \sum_{k=1}^{g} a_{g,k}(x)(y - y_{0})^{-k}q$$

and

$$w^{g-1} = (y-y_0)^{-g+1}R_{g-1}(x,y) + A_{g-1}(x,y)q + \sum_{k=1}^{g-1} a_{g-1,k}(x)(y-y_0)^{-k}q.$$

Hence

$$w^{g} - \left(\frac{a_{g,g-1}(x)}{a_{g-1,g-1}(x)}\right) w^{g-1} = B_{g}(x,y) + C_{g}(x,y)q$$

+
$$\sum_{k=1}^{g-2} b_{k}(x)(y-y_{0})^{-k}q$$

+
$$c(x)q(y-y_{0})^{-g},$$

where B_g is a rational function of y with holomorphic coefficients, C_g is a polynomial in y with holomorphic coefficients, and b_k and c are holomorphic at x

Yasutaka SIBUYA

=0. Note that the coefficient of w^{g-1} on the left side is holomorphic at x=0 by virtue of (4.8).

In this manner, we can find functions $\mu_1(x), \dots, \mu_{g-1}(x)$ such that they are holomorphic in x at x=0 and that

$$w^{g} + \sum_{k=1}^{g-1} \mu_{k}(x) w^{k} = A(x, y) + B(x, y)q + c(x)q(y-y_{0})^{-g},$$

where A is a rational function of y with holomorphic coefficients, and B(x, y)is a polynomial in y with holomorphic coefficients. Let $A(x, y) = A_0(x, y) + O(|y|^{-1})$, where A_0 is a polynomial in y with holomorphic coefficients. Then define a rational function K(x, y, q) by

(4.10)
$$K(x, y, q) = w^{g} + \sum_{k=1}^{g-1} \mu_{k}(x) w^{k} - A_{0}(x, y) - B(x, y) q.$$

Then, K is bounded if |y| is sufficiently large. Therefore, if $K \to \infty$, then y is bounded. Hence w must tend to infinity. This implies that $y \to y_0$. If |x| is sufficiently small, either $q = \varphi(x, y)$ or $q = -\varphi(x, y)$. If $q = -\varphi(x, y)$, then $u = \varphi(x, y_0) + q = O(|y-y_0|)$, and hence K is bounded. Therefore, if $K \to \infty$, we must have

$$y \rightarrow y_0$$
 and $q = \varphi(x, y)$.

Now define a rational function H(x, y, p) by

(4.11)
$$H(x, y, p) = K(x, y, P(x, y)p + Q(x, y)),$$

and put

(4.12) v(x) = H(x, y(x), y'(x)).

5. Proof of main theorem : Part II.

We shall prove now that v(x) satisfies an algebraic differential equation. Let

(5.1)
$$q(x) = P(x, y(x))y'(x) + Q(x, y(x)).$$

Then

(5.2)
$$q'(x) = \frac{1}{2}q(x)^{-1}D_x(x, y(x)) + D_y(x, y(x))y'(x),$$

where $D_x = \partial D / \partial x$ and $D_y = \partial D / \partial y$. From (5.1) and (5.2) we derive

(5.3)
$$q'(x) = r(x, y(x)) + s(x, y(x))q(x),$$

where r(x, y) and s(x, y) are rational in y with holomorphic coefficients. Let us write v(x) in the form:

(5.4)
$$v(x) = V(x, y(x)) + U(x, y(x))q(x),$$

where V and U are rational in y with holomorphic coefficients. Then we get

(5.5)
$$v'(x) = W(x, y(x)) + Z(x, y(x))q(x),$$

where W and Z are rational in y with holomorphic coefficients. Since $(q(x))^2 = D(x, y(x))$, by eliminating q(x), we obtain two relations

(5.6)
$$F_1(x, v(x), y(x)) = 0$$

and

(5.7)
$$F_2(x, v'(x), y(x)) = 0,$$

where F_1 and F_2 are polynomials in (v, y) and in (v', y) respectively and their coefficients are holomorphic at x=0. Both of them are either quadratic or linear in v and v' respectively. Hence by eliminating y(x), we obtain an algebraic differential equation for v(x).

By virtue of Kimura's theorem [1], v(x) can not admit an essential singularity at ω if v(x) is bounded. If $v(\xi_n)$ tend to infinity, where $\{\xi_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\xi_n \to \omega$ as $n \to \infty$, then $y(\xi_n) \to y_0$ as $n \to \infty$, and $q(\xi_n) = \varphi(\xi_n, y(\xi_n))$ for large n. Hence if y_0 is not exceptional in the sense of Kimura, we can find a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$x_n \to \omega$$
 as $n \to \infty$,
 $y(x_n) = y_0$ for every n ,
 $q(x_n) = \varphi(x_n, y_0)$ for every n ,

in the same manner as in Kimura's paper [1]. This completes the proof of the main theorem.

References

- [1] T.Kimura, Sur les points singuliers des équations différentielles ordinaires du premier ordre, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul, 2 (1954) 47-53.
- [2] T. Kimura, On the global theory of algebraic differential equations, Proc. U.S.-Japan Seminar on Differential and Functional Equations, pp 181-197, Benjamin, 1967.
- [3] J. Malmquist, Sur les fonctions à un nombre fini de branches satisfaisant à une équation différentielle du premier ordre, Acta Math. 74 (1941), 175-196.

(Ricevita la 12-an de decembro, 1969)