

L^p -stability of Non-Linear Differential-Difference Equations

By D. Ramakrishna RAO

(University Nehru Nagar)

L^p -stability of ordinary differential equations has been first studied by Aaron Strauss, in his doctoral dissertation [1]. We extend his results to non-linear differential-difference equations. We consider the non-linear differential-difference equations

$$(1) \quad x_t^1(0) = f(x_t, t)$$

$$(2) \quad y_t^1(0) = g(y_t, t)$$

and study the L^p -stability of the systems. In this paper we use the following notations.

R^n is the space of n vectors and for $x \in R^n$, $\|x\|$ is any vector norm. Given a number $h > 0$, we can find c which denotes the space of continuous functions mapping the interval $[-h, 0]$ into R^n and for $\varphi \in c$, $\|\varphi\| = \sup_{-h \leq \theta \leq 0} \|\varphi(\theta)\|$, c_H will denote the set of $\varphi \in c$ for which $\|\varphi\| \leq H$. We use the symbol $\|\cdot\|$ to denote the norm in whatever space under consideration. For any continuous function $y(u)$ defined on $-h \leq u \leq A$, $A \geq 0$, and any fixed t , $0 \leq t \leq A$, the symbol y_t will denote the function $y(t+\theta)$, $-h \leq \theta \leq 0$ i. e. $y_t \in c$ and is the segment of the function $y(u)$ by letting u range in the interval $t-h \leq u \leq t$.

Let $f(\varphi, t)$ and $g(\psi, t)$ be non-linear in φ and ψ respectively and is continuous in t, φ and in t, ψ for all $t \geq 0$ and $\varphi, \psi \in c_H$. Let $x_t^1(0)$ denote the right hand derivative of the function $x(u)$ at $u=t$. $f(\varphi, t)$ and $g(\psi, t)$ are Lipschitzian in φ and ψ with Lipschitz constant L .

Let $t_0 \geq 0$ and let $\varphi \in c_H$ be any given function. A function $x_t(t_0, \varphi)$ is said to be a solution of (1) with initial function φ at time t_0 , if there exists an $A > 0$ such that

- (i) for each t , $t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + A$, $x_t(t_0, \varphi)$ is defined and $\in c_H$.
- (ii) $x_{t_0}(t_0, \varphi) = \varphi$.
- (iii) $x_t^1(0) = f(x_t, t)$, $t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + A$.

Similar definition can be given for the solution $y_t(t_0, \psi)$ of the equation (2).

Let $V(t, \varphi, \psi)$ be a continuous function in t, φ , and ψ for $t \geq 0$, $\varphi, \psi \in c_H$. The derivative of V along the solutions of (1) and (2) will be denoted by $\dot{V}_{(1), (2)}$ and is defined as

$$(3) \quad \dot{V}_{(1),(2)}(t, \varphi, \psi) \\ = \overline{\lim}_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{h} [V(t+h, x_{t+h}(t, \varphi), y_{t+h}(t, \psi)) - V(t, \varphi, \psi)],$$

where φ and ψ are the solutions of (1) and (2) respectively at time t_0 .

Lemma 1. Let $f(\varphi, t)$ and $g(\psi, t)$ satisfy the above conditions. Let the solutions $x_t(t_0, \varphi)$ and $y_t(t_0, \psi)$ of (1) and (2) respectively satisfy the condition

$$(4) \quad \|x_t(t_0, \varphi) - y_t(t_0, \psi)\|^{(h)} \leq K(t_0) e^{-[\alpha(t) - \alpha(t_0)]} \|\varphi - \psi\|^{(h)},$$

where the function $\alpha(t)$ is continuous non-decreasing and possesses a continuous derivative for $t \geq 0$ and where $K(t)$ is a bounded function. If for some q , $0 < q < 1$, there exists a number $T > 0$ such that, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$(5) \quad K(t) e^{-q[\alpha(t+T) - \alpha(t)]} \leq 1,$$

then there exists a function $V(t, \varphi, \psi)$ continuous in t, φ , and ψ for all $t \geq 0$, $\varphi, \psi \in c_{H_0}$, $H_0 > 0$, such that

$$(6) \quad \|\varphi - \psi\| \leq V(t, \varphi, \psi) \leq K(t) V(t, \varphi, \psi).$$

$$(7) \quad \dot{V}_{(1),(2)}(t, \varphi, \psi) \leq -(1-q) \alpha^1(t) V(t, \varphi, \psi)$$

$$(8) \quad |V(t, \varphi_1, \psi_1) - V(t, \varphi_2, \psi_2)| \\ \leq e^{LT} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} e^{\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)} [\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|^{(h)} + \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|^{(h)}].$$

Proof of lemma 1. Let q, T be as defined above.

Let

$$(9) \quad V(t, \varphi, \psi) = \sup_{\tau \geq 0} \|x_{t+\tau}(t, \varphi) - y_{t+\tau}(t, \psi)\|^{(h)} e^{(1-q)[\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]}$$

with the assumptions on $K(t)$ and $\alpha(t)$. $V(t, \varphi, \psi)$ is defined for all $\varphi, \psi \in c_{H_0}$, $H_0 = \frac{K}{M}$, $M = \sup_{t \geq 0} K(t)$.

Relation (6) can easily be proved. We may prove (7) in the following manner.

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{V}_{(1),(2)}(t, \varphi, \psi) \\ &= \overline{\lim}_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{h} [V(t+h, x_{t+h}(t, \varphi), y_{t+h}(t, \psi)) - V(t, x_t(t, \varphi), y_t(t, \psi))] \\ &= \overline{\lim}_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{h} [\sup_{\tau \geq 0} \|x_{t+h+\tau}(t+h, x_{t+h}(t, \varphi)) - y_{t+h+\tau}(t+h, y_{t+h}(t, \psi))\|^{(h)} \\ & \quad \times e^{(1-q)[\alpha(t+h+\tau) - \alpha(t+h)]} - \sup_{\tau \geq 0} \|x_{t+\tau}(t, \varphi) - y_{t+\tau}(t, \psi)\|^{(h)} \\ & \quad \times e^{(1-q)[\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]}] \\ &= \overline{\lim}_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{h} \sup_{\tau \geq h} \|x_{t+\tau}(t, \varphi) - y_{t+\tau}(t, \psi)\| e^{(1-q)[\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]} \\ & \quad - \sup_{\tau \geq 0} \|x_{t+\tau}(t, \varphi) - y_{t+\tau}(t, \psi)\| e^{(1-q)[\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]} \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{h} \sup_{\tau \geq 0} \|x_{t+\tau}(t, \varphi) - y_{t+\tau}(t, \psi)\| e^{(1-q)[\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]} \{e^{(1-q)[\alpha(t) - \alpha(t+h)]} - 1\} \\ &= -(1-q) \alpha^1(t) \cdot V(t, \varphi, \psi). \end{aligned}$$

Hence relation (7) is proved. Now we prove (8). We use the following

lemma (lemma 1.3 from [2]) to prove (8).

Lemma A. Suppose $t_0 \geq 0$, $\varphi, \varphi^* \in c_{H_1}$, $H_1 > 0$, $H_1 < H$ are given. Then so long as the solutions $x_t(t_0, \varphi)$ and $x_t(t_0, \varphi^*)$ of (1) $\in c_H$,

$$\|x_t(t_0, \varphi) - x_t(t_0, \varphi^*)\|^{(h)} \leq e^{L(t-t_0)} \|\varphi_{t_0} - \varphi_{t_0}^*\|^{(h)},$$

where L is the Lipschitz constant defined above; i.e. the solutions depend continuously upon the initial values.

Proof of lemma A is based on Krasovskii's work [3].

Proof of (8) is as follows. Using the assumption (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_{t+\tau}(t_0, \varphi) - y_{t+\tau}(t_0, \psi)\|^{(h)} e^{(1-q)[\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]} \\ & \leq K(t) e^{-q[\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]} \|\varphi - \psi\|^{(h)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (5) and (9) we have

$$V(t, \varphi, \psi) = \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} \|x_{t+\tau}(t, \varphi) - y_{t+\tau}(t, \psi)\|^{(h)} e^{(1-q)[\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]}.$$

Using lemma A, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |V(t, \varphi_1, \psi_1) - V(t, \varphi_2, \psi_2)| \\ & \leq \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} [\|x_{t+\tau}(t, \varphi_1) - x_{t+\tau}(t, \varphi_2)\|^{(h)} + \|y_{t+\tau}(t, \psi_1) - y_{t+\tau}(t, \psi_2)\|^{(h)}] \\ & \quad \times e^{(1-q)[\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]} \\ & \leq e^{LT} \cdot \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq T} e^{(1-q)[\alpha(t+\tau) - \alpha(t)]} [\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|^{(h)} + \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|^{(h)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Before we state our main theorem, we give the following definitions.

Definition 1. System (1) is said to be *stable with respect to (2)*, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t_0 \geq 0$, there exists $\delta(t_0, \varepsilon) > 0$, that is continuous in t_0 for each ε and such that

$$\|\varphi - \psi\| < \delta(t_0, \varepsilon)$$

implies

$$\|x_t(t_0, \varphi) - y_t(t_0, \psi)\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0.$$

Definition 2. The trivial solution of (1) is said to be *L^p -stable with respect to the trivial solution of (2)*, if the definition 1 holds and if for all $t_0 \geq 0$, there exists a $\delta_0 = \delta_0(t_0) > 0$ such that

$$\|\varphi - \psi\| < \delta_0$$

implies

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|x_t(t, \varphi) - y_t(t_0, \psi)\|^p dt < \infty$$

for all $t \geq t_0$, where $x_t(t_0, \varphi)$ and $y_t(t_0, \psi)$ are the solutions of (1) and (2) respectively with their initial values φ and ψ respectively, at time t_0 .

Theorem. If $V(t, x_t(t_0, \varphi), y_t(t_0, \psi))$ satisfies the above assumptions given in lemma 1. Let V be such that its derivative along the solutions of (1) and (2) is

$$\dot{V}_{(1), (2)}(t, x_t(t_0, \varphi), y_t(t_0, \psi)) \leq -c \|x_t(t_0, \varphi) - y_t(t_0, \psi)\|^p$$

for all $t \geq t_0$, $\varphi, \psi \in c_{H_0}$, $H_0 > 0$ and for some $c > 0$, $p > 0$. Then the zero solu-

tion of (1) is L^p -stable with respect to the zero solution of (2).

Proof of theorem. With assumptions of $V(t, x_t(t_0, \varphi), y_t(t_0, \psi))$, the zero solution of (1) is stable with respect to the zero solution of (2), follows from the work of Hale [2].

Now let

$$\gamma(t) = V(t, x_t(t_0, \varphi), y_t(t_0, \psi)) + c \int_{t_0}^t \|x_t(t_0, \varphi) - y_t(t_0, \psi)\|^p dt$$

for all $t \geq t_0$. Let t be fixed in $[t_0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{h} [\gamma(t+h) - \gamma(t)] \\ & \leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{h} [V(t+h, x_{t+h}(t, \varphi), y_{t+h}(t, \psi)) - V(t, x_t(t_0, \varphi), y_t(t_0, \psi))] \\ & \quad + c \|x_t(t_0, \varphi) - y_t(t_0, \psi)\|^p \\ & = \dot{V}_{(1), (2)}(t, x_t(t_0, \varphi), y_t(t_0, \psi)) + c \cdot \|x_t(t_0, \varphi) - y_t(t_0, \psi)\|^p \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\gamma(t)$ is non-increasing in $[t_0, \infty)$. But $\gamma(t_0) = V(t_0, \varphi, \psi)$.

Therefore $\gamma(t) \leq V(t_0, \varphi, \psi)$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \leq V(t, x_t(t_0, \varphi), y_t(t_0, \psi)) \\ & \leq -c \int_{t_0}^t \|x_t(t_0, \varphi) - y_t(t_0, \psi)\|^p dt + V(t_0, \varphi, \psi) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq t_0$, so that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \|x_t(t_0, \varphi) - y_t(t_0, \psi)\|^p dt \leq \frac{1}{c} \cdot V(t_0, \varphi, \psi)$$

Hence the theorem follows.

References

- [1] Aaron Strauss, L^p -stability of differential equations and Lyapunov functions, form the autuors doctoral dissertation, Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, (1964).
- [2] J.K. Hale, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of differential-difference equations. Tech. Report 61-10, RIAS, Baltimore.
- [3] N.N. Krasovskii, Some problems in stability of motion. Moscow, 1959.
- [4] La Salle and Lefschetz, The stability theory of Lyapunov. Academic Press, 1961.

(Ricevita la 18-an de novembro, 1965)